



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.351 of 2026

WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 26.02.2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.351 of 2026

Shahul Hameed

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Tamil Nadu,
Rep.by the Inspector of Police,
Karaikudi South Police Station,
Sivangangai District.
(Crime No.233 of 2025)

... Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Hariharan

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.233 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Section 310(2) of BNS, 2023 corresponding to



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.351 of 2026

offence punishable under section 395 of IPC in Crime No.233 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that one Vignesh and other accused persons have abused and attacked the de-facto complainant and snatched 3 ½ sovereigns of gold from him. Therefore the present case was registered and the petitioner was arrayed as Accused No.5. The petitioner had filed anticipatory bail petition before this court in Crl.O.P(MD) No.13516/2025 and the same was dismissed on the ground that part of the property was not recovered and the investigation is at the preliminary stage. The 4th accused namely Jeevanantham filed a bail petition before the Principal Sessions Judge, Sivagangai in Crl.M.P. No.2053 of 2025 and the same was allowed on the ground that the robbed property has been recovered and no one had sustained injury in the said incident. Furthermore, the petitioner had filed 2nd anticipatory bail petition before this court in Crl.O.P.(MD) No:15871 of 2025 and this court granted interim order and directed the petitioner to cooperate with the investigation. Thereafter, the petitioner had some health issues and he was unable to cooperate with the investigation. Thereafter, the above said anticipatory bail petition was dismissed on the ground that the petitioner has not cooperated with the investigation and now the petitioner is ready to cooperate with the



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.351 of 2026

investigation without any delay. Thereafter, the 3rd accused namely Kalidhas filed anticipatory bail petition before the Principal Sessions Judge Sivagangai in Crl.M.P.No.2751 of 2025 and the same was allowed on the ground that the robbed property has been recovered and investigation has been completed and also charge sheet has been laid before the concerned Judicial Magistrate, but the same is yet to be numbered. Hence, the apprehension of arrest. Hence, a case has been registered as against the petitioner.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and the petitioner has not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.The learned Government Advocate (Crl. side) appearing for the respondent submitted that the offences committed by the petitioner are serious in nature.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances of the case and since the petitioner has complied with the conditions imposed in the interim anticipatory bail regularly, the interim anticipatory bail already granted



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.351 of 2026

is made absolute and this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Learned Judicial Magistrate, Karaikudi, Sivagangai District, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready and on further conditions that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.351 of 2026

the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

26.02.2026

TMG

TO

1. The Learned Judicial Magistrate,
Karaikudi
Sivagangai District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Karaikudi South Police Station,
Sivagangai District.

3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.351 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY,J

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.351 of 2026

Date : 26.02.2026