



W.P.(MD) No.408 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENGH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 08.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

W.P.(MD)No.408 of 2026

N.Sridhar

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The District Collector,
Collectorate,
Virudhunagar.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Collectorate,
Virudhunagar.
3. The District Registrar,
Registration Department,
Virudhunagar.
4. The Tashildar,
Taluk Office,
Srivilliputhur Taluk,
Virudhunagar.
5. The Sub Registrar,
Srivilliputhur Sub-Registrar Office,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 and 2



W.P.(MD) No.408 of 2026

to rectify the mistakes and fix the guideline value to the property in Old Natham Survey No.110/3A, New Natham Survey No.110/3A1 admeasuring 0.33.30 Hectares in Natham Patta No.664, Raghunathapuram Revenue Village, Rajapalayam Taluk, Virudhunagar District by considering petitioner's representation dated 16.12.2025 and pass appropriate orders within a time stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Vashik Ali

For Respondents : Mr.C.Satheesh,
Govt. Advocate.

ORDER

This Writ Petition is filed seeking a Mandamus as against the respondents 1 and 2 to rectify the mistakes and fix the guideline value to the property in Old Natham Survey No.110/3A, New Natham Survey No.110/3A1 admeasuring 0.33.30 Hectares in Natham Patta No. 664, Raghunathapuram Revenue Village, Rajapalayam Taluk, Virudhunagar District by considering the petitioner's representation dated 16.12.2025 and pass appropriate orders within a time stipulated by this Court.



W.P.(MD) No.408 of 2026

2. By consent, this Writ Petition is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself. Since no adverse order is going to be passed against the 5th respondent, notice to him is dispensed with.

3. According to the petitioner, the subject property is the petitioner's ancestral property and when the petitioner approached the 4th respondent to register a partition deed, he came to know that the guideline value for the subject property is shown as Zero value and the classification of the land is denoted as Government Poramboke. Further, as per the records of the 2nd respondent Department, the subject property was properly valued for the period from 01.04.2003 to 31.07.2007 and fixed the guideline value. Hence, the petitioner made a representation on 16.02.2025, seeking to rectify the mistakes crept in the guideline value and fix the proper guideline value in respect of the subject property. Since the said representation has not been considered till date, the petitioner has approached this Court.

4. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents would submit that the representation of the petitioner dated 16.12.2025 would be considered in accordance with law.



W.P.(MD) No.408 of 2026

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, directs the first respondent to consider the and pass orders on the representation of the petitioner dated 16.12.2025, on merits and in accordance with law, within a period of six (6) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. With the above direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

08.01.2026

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
vsm



W.P.(MD) No.408 of 2026

WEB COPY

To

1. The District Collector,
Collectorate,
Virudhunagar.
2. The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Collectorate,
Virudhunagar.
3. The District Registrar,
Registration Department,
Virudhunagar.
4. The Tashildar,
Taluk Office,
Srivilliputhur Taluk,
Virudhunagar.
5. The Sub Registrar,
Srivilliputhur Sub-Registrar Office,
Virudhunagar,
Virudhunagar District.



WEB COPY



W.P.(MD) No.408 of 2026

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

vsm

W.P.(MD)No.408 of 2026

08.01.2026