



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.107 of 2026

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 11.02.2026

PRESENT

The HONOURABLE MRS.JUSTICE S.SRIMATHY

CRL OP(MD)No.107 of 2026

Tamil Selvi

... Petitioner

Vs.

State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Dindigul District.
(Crime No.44 of 2025)

... Respondent

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Maharaja

For Respondent : Mr.S.S.Manoj
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.482 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Anticipatory Bail in Cr.No.44 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

ORDER: The Court made the following order :-

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 61(2), 316(2), 318(4) of BNS r/w. Sections 3 & 4 of Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Charging Exorbitant Interest Act,



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.107 of 2026

2003, in Crime No.44 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is running a college in the name of Sri Krishna Polytechnic College & Krishna ITI for the past 30 years at Batlagundu, Nilakottai Taluk, Dindigul District. In such circumstances, the defacto complainant has availed loan for interest from the Accused Nos.1 & 2 to a sum of Rs.1,00,00,000/- (Rupees one crore only) between the years 2019 & 2020 and also paid the monthly interest of Rs. 4,00,000/- (Rupees Four Lakhs Only) to the above said Accused Nos.1 & 2. Further, the defacto complainant has not paid the interest amount to the Accused Nos.1 & 2. Thereafter, the defacto complainant and the Accused Nos. 1 and 2 entered into a compromise in the presence of the Accused Nos.3 and 4 wherein the defacto complainant agreed to pay Rs.3,50,00,000/- (Rupees Three Crores Fifty Lakh only) to the Accused Nos.1 and 2 within a year and executed a sale deed in favour of Accused Nos.3 and 4 for the properties owned by the defacto complainant in Patta No.3551 and Doc.No.461 of 2025. In such circumstances, the Accused Nos.3 and 4 have violated the compromise condition and sold the property to this petitioner on 24.11.2025 in Doc.No.5411 of 2025. Hence, the apprehension of arrest. Hence, a case has been registered



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.107 of 2026

as against the petitioner.

WEB COPY

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and the petitioner has not committed any offence. He seeks this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Further, the petitioner claims that she is a bonafide purchaser.

4.In order to ascertain the same, this Court directed the Government Advocate (Crl.side) to submit the valuation of the land (both market value and guideline value) and the same is submitted before this Court.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6.Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Dindigul, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready and on further conditions that:



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.107 of 2026

[a]the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or bank pass book to ensure their identity.

[b]the petitioner shall deposit the disputed title deeds before the Judicial Magistrate and also submit an undertaking that she will not sell the property.

[c]the petitioner shall report before the respondent police as and when required.

[d]the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e]the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f]On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g]If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

11.02.2026

TMG



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.107 of 2026

WEB COPY

1. Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Dindigul.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Dindigul District.
- 3.The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench Of Madras High Court,
Madurai.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.(MD)No.107 of 2026

S.SRIMATHY,J

TMG

ORDER
IN
CRL OP(MD) No.107 of 2026

Date : 11.02.2026