



CRL OP(MD). No.183 of 2025

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

( Criminal Jurisdiction )

Date : 25.03.2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

CRL OP(MD). No.183 of 2025

Michael Amalraj

...Petitioner/A1

Vs

State of Tamil Nadu rep. by  
The Inspector of Police,  
NIBCID Police Station,  
Thoothukudi District  
(Crime No. 9 of 2024)

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Anand

For Respondent : Mr.B.Nambi Selvan

Additional Public Prosecutor

PETITION FOR BAIL Under Sec.483 of BNSS

PRAYER :-

For Bail in Cr.No. 9 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police.



CRL OP(MD). No.183 of 2025

ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

WEB COPY

The petitioner / A1, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.09.2024 for the offences punishable under Sections 8(c),r/w. 21(c), 29(1) of NDPS Act in Crime No.9 of 2024 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused smuggled 58 kgs of seras to Srilanka . Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the respondent police has registered a false case against the petitioner and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that there is a procedural violation in collecting the samples. The petitioner has been arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.09.2024 and no similar kind of previous cases are pending against the petitioner. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the quantity involved in this case is



CRL OP(MD). No.183 of 2025

commercial quantity. The petitioner along with other accused smuggled 58 kgs of seras to Srilanka, hence he objected to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the rival submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and considering the fact the contraband involved in this case is a commercial quantity and the contention of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that there is a procedural violation in collecting sample is concerned since the case is posted for trial the same can be raised before the trial Court during cross examination, hence this Court is not inclined to allow the petition at this stage.

7. In view of the same, the petition stands dismissed.

(P D B J)  
25.03.2026

aav

3/5



CRL OP(MD). No.183 of 2025

To

WEB COPY

- 1.The Principal Special Court for EC and NDPS Act Cases,Madurai
- 2 .The Additional Public Prosecutor,  
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP(MD). No.183 of 2025  
**P. DHANABAL, J**

aav

ORDER  
IN  
**CRL OP(MD) No. 183 of 2025**

Date : 25.03.2026