



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.36097 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO.36097 of 2025

N.R.Gnanasekaran S/o.Rajagopal

... Petitioner

Vs

The State Rep. By,  
The Inspector of Police,  
Land Grabbing Wing,  
Gamma -5, Central Crime Branch,  
Veppery, Chennai.

(Crime No.132 of 2014)

... Respondent

**PRAYER:** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya  
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioner on  
anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent in Crime No.132 of  
2014 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr. B.Harish

For Respondent : M/s. J.R. Archana  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

### **ORDER**

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent  
police for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 463, 465, 467, 468,  
471, 474 and 418 r/w 120 of IPC in Crime No.132 of 2014 on the file of the  
respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner herein is ranked as A5 in this case and originally there was a complaint lodged in the year 2014 and there are certain tampering of the documents signed by the SRO for the purpose of grabbing of land belongs to the defacto complaint. Hence, the case has been lodged. During the pending of the investigation in the year 2017, A1 and A2 has came forward to execute a sale deed in favour of A5 who in turn, sold the property to other accused.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case, the power of attorney has been obtained from A1 and A2 by the petitioner based on the documents produced by them and by paying the appropriate sale consideration, he has obtained the power of attorney and sold the property in the year 2017 and is ready to co-operate for the investigation and that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court, hence sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police while opposing the anticipatory bail to the petitioner reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the accused A1, A2 and A4 was already died and the petitioner had obtained power of attorney fro



A1 and A2 and that the investigation of this case is pending.

WEB COPY

5. I have gone through the FIR and other records. Considering the submissions made, facts and circumstances of this case, nature of allegation, the fact that the petitioner had obtained the power of attorney in the year 2017 and based on which, subsequently he sold the property and since custodial interrogation of the petitioner herein is not necessary for the purpose of investigation at this point of time, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned The Special Metropolitan Magistrate, Special Court for Exclusively Land Grabbing Cases-II, Egmore, Allikulam Complex, Chennai**, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

**[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen days from**



WEB COPY



*Crl.O.P.No.36097 of 2025*

**the date of receipt of copy of this order, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;**

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

**[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;**

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala** [(2005)13 SCC 283];

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

**30.01.2026**

ssa

4/5



WEB COPY

To

*Crl.O.P.No.36097 of 2025*



**K. RAJASEKAR, J.**

ssa

1. The Special Metropolitan Magistrate,  
Special Court for Exclusively Land Grabbing Cases-II,  
Egmore, Allikulam Complex, Chennai.
2. The Inspector of Police,  
Land Grabbing Wing,  
Gamma -5, Central Crime Branch,  
Veppery, Chennai.  
(Crime No.132 of 2014)
3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.

**Crl.O.P. No.36097 of 2025**

**30.01.2026**