



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.1089 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 21.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO.1089 of 2026

R.Thirunavukarasu
S/o.Rajendran

... Petitioner/ A1

Vs

The State Rep. By,
The Inspector of Police,
B-8 Police Station,
Periyathatchur PS, Tindivanam Taluk,
Villupuram District - 605651.
(Crime No.190 of 2025)

... Respondent/Complainant

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioner on
anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police
concerned in Crime No.190 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr. Vijayakumar P

For Respondent : Ms.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1),



Crl.O.P.No.1089 of 2025

133, 351(3) of BNS Act, r/w Section 4 of Women Harassment Act, in Crime No.190 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The earlier criminal original petition in Crl.O.P.No.34019 of 2025 seeking anticipatory bail has been disposed of by this Court by an order dated 12.12.2025 on the following reasons:

“5.Considering the gravity of the offence committed by the 1st petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the 1st petitioner.”

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is a government servant and due to matrimonial dispute false complaint has been lodged against him and hence, seeks anticipatory bail. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent while opposing the anticipatory bail to the petitioner reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that due to the attack made by the petitioner, complainant and the child were severely injured.

4. Heard the submissions made on both sides and perused the materials available on record.



Crl.O.P.No.1089 of 2025

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of this case, gravity of offence made by the petitioner, the fact that there is no change in the circumstances, this Court is not inclined to revisit the earlier order.

6. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

21.01.2026

ssa

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.2, Tindivanam.
2. The Inspector of Police,
B-8 Police Station,
Periyathatchur PS, Tindivanam Taluk,
Villupuram District - 605651.
(Crime No.190 of 2025)
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.1089 of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

ssa

Crl.O.P. No.1089 of 2026

21.01.2026