

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05-01-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 35421 of 2025

1. Ramanibai
W/o.Jayakumar, No.8/177,
Nareshbhavan, Kamarajar Nagar,
Valparai, Coimbatore District-642 127.
and 2 Others

2. Sindhiya
W/o.Munishvara Raja, No.9/818,
M.G.R.nagar, Valparai, Coimbatore-642
127.

3. Shyamala Devi
W/o.Madasamy, No.10/662, New
Colony, Angalakurichi, Coimbatore-642
007.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The State of Tamilnadu Rep.by, The
Inspector of Police,
Valparai Police Station, Coimbatore
district. Cr.No.153/2025.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the event of arrest in connection with the Cr.No.153 of 2025 on the file of Valparai Police Station, Coimbatore district.

For Petitioner(s): M/S.AGF Terry Chella Raja

For Respondent(s): M/S.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 194 of BNS in Crime No. 153 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners are teachers at a Government Higher Secondary School. Since the teachers made certain remarks and gave some punishments for certain works given by them, the victim girl committed suicide by setting herself on fire. Hence, the complaint was lodged.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the teachers did not instigate the victim girl to commit suicide. It was a casual remark made for the betterment of the victim girl's education, which was wrongly interpreted by her. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide by any of the conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Therefore, he prays for grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the investigation revealed the 2nd petitioner is not an accused in this case, though she was named as an accused in the FIR. She further submitted that both the petitioners made certain remarks and forced the victim girl to kneel down in the class room, which caused insult to the minds of the victim girl who is studying

only IX standard. Hence, she opposed for grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the above facts, I am of the view that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners 1 and 3 is not necessary, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners 1 & 3 with certain conditions.

6. As far as 2nd petitioner is concerned, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed since it is stated that she is not an accused in this case.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners 1 & 3 are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Valparai**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)**, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019'].

The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 6.00 p.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

05-01-2026

Mpa

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:Yes/No

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate, Valparai.

2.The State of Tamilnadu Rep.by, The
Inspector of Police,
Valparai Police Station, Coimbatore
district. Cr.No.153/2025.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

CRL OP No. 35421 of 2025

K.RAJASEKAR J.
mpa

CRL OP No. 35421 of
2025

05-01-2026