



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 06.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL.O.P.No.19 of 2026

Ambika

... Petitioner

Versus

The State rep by its,
The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Ariyalur District.
(Crime No.01 of 2022)

.. Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.01 of 2022 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : M/s.Athiniveda Athivel

For Respondent : Ms.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest by the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 120B, 406, 409, 417, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 506(i) of IPC, in Crime No.01 of 2022 registered on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with 8 other accused created forged documents of the land which belongs to the defacto complainant and executed a sale deed in favour of A1 without the knowledge of the defacto complainant and thereby cheated him. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is not aware about the fabrication of the sale deed after verifying the patta and other documents and that the co-accused was released on bail by this Court in CrI.OP.No.18249 of 2022 dated 03.08.2022. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner is ranked as A8 in this case and that he along with other accused assisted the main accused to execute the sale deed in favour of A11. He further submitted that the investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



WEB COPY

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. I have also gone through the orders passed by this Court in Crl.OP.No.18249 of 2022 dated 03.08.2022 and FIR, it was revealed that the petitioner involved in fabrication of records and based on the same, patta is also obtained without the knowledge of the defacto complainant. Since all the allegations are borne out of the records, the custodial interrogation is not necessary, therefore, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the ***learned Judicial Magistrate Court No.II, Jayankondam,*** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:



(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

06.01.2026

drl



To

1.The Judicial Magistrate No.II,
Jayankondam.

2. The Inspector of Police,
District Crime Branch,
Ariyalur District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

drl

CRL.O.P.No.19 of 2026

06.01.2026