



W.P.No.314 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19.01.2026

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA,
CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

W.P.No.314 of 2026

&

W.M.P.No.367 of 2026

Saraswathi

.. Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Commissioner
Greater Chennai Corporation
Chennai 600 003.
2. The Chairman
Tamil Nadu Slum Clearance Board
Kamarajar Salai
Chennai 600 005.
3. The District Collector
Chennai District
Chennai.
4. The Zonal Officer
Zone IX
Greater Chennai Corporation
Chennai.



W.P.No.314 of 2026

5. The Tahsildar
Teynampet
Chennai 600 018.

6. The Inspector of Police
Pondy Bazar Police Station
T.Nagar
Chennai 600 017.

7. V.Shankar

8. Madan

9. P.Chandru

.. Respondents

Prayer : Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ of Certiorari to call for records of the 5th Respondent Notice issued in reference No. Zone IX DN 113/TPENF/109/2020 dated 25.11 2024 and quash the same.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Lawrence Peter

For Respondents : Mr.D.B.R.Prabhu
Standing Counsel
for Respondents 1 & 4

Mrs.K.Indumathy Venkatesh
for Respondent-2

Mr.M.Habeeb Rahman
Government Advocate
for Respondents 3 & 5

Mr.E.Prathap
Government Advocate
for Respondent-6



WEB COPY



W.P.No.314 of 2026

ORDER
(Order of the Court was made by
the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

Challenge to lock and seal notice dated 25.11.2024 is essentially premised on the ground that action has been initiated against petitioner in purported compliance of the orders passed by this Court in various proceedings, wherein, she was not a party.

2. After perusal of the notice dated 25.11.2024, we find that it does not refer to any order of the Court. The notice has been issued as petitioner has failed to produce any document of approved plan which was required to be obtained under law before proceeding with construction. Though notice was issued way back on 25.11.2024, petitioner did not take any remedy, much less remedy of filing revision under Section 80A of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1971 and after more than one year, she has filed the present petition.

3. Viewed from any angle, petition is frivolous and has no



W.P.No.314 of 2026

merits. Accordingly, petition is dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the interim application is also dismissed.

(MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA, CJ) (G.ARUL MURUGAN,J)
19.01.2026

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No

kpl

To

1. The Commissioner
Greater Chennai Corporation
Chennai 600 003.
2. The Chairman
Tamil Nadu Slum Clearance Board
Kamarajar Salai, Chennai 600 005.
3. The District Collector
Chennai District, Chennai.
4. The Zonal Officer
Zone IX, Greater Chennai Corporation
Chennai.
5. The Tahsildar
Teynampet, Chennai 600 018.
6. The Inspector of Police
Pondy Bazar Police Station
T.Nagar, Chennai 600 017.



WEB COPY



W.P.No.314 of 2026

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
G.ARUL MURUGAN,J.

(kpl)

W.P.No.314 of 2026

19.01.2026