



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 08-01-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

WP No. 86 of 2026

A.Balakrishnan

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector
Salem District Salem.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer
O/o. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Salem, Salem District.

3.The Tahsildar
O/o. The Tahsildar Salem,
Salem District.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER; This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to consider the petitioner representation dated 22.08.2023 and thereby direct the respondents to give service benefits to the petitioner.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.B.Sundarapandiyan
For Respondent: Mr.M.Rajendiran, AGP

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking a direction to the respondents to



consider the petitioner representation dated 22.08.2023 and thereby direct the respondents to give service benefits to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

2. It is the case of the petitioner that he was appointed as Thalaiyari on 15.11.1976 and served continuously without any break till 1979. While he was on medical leave from 06.09.1979, a temporary appointment was made in his place. After recover and expiry of medical leave, the petitioner reported for duty on 07.11.1979, however, he was not permitted to rejoin duty, despite repeated requests. Therefore, the petitioner submitted several representations dated 04.06.2003, 17.07.2006 and 08.02.2007 seeking reinstatement and posting and the same was not considered. Hence, the petitioner filed a writ petition before this court. This Court while disposing the writ petition, on 16.04.2010, directing the respondents to consider the petitioners directions. Pursuant to the said order, the 3rd respondent, by proceedings dated 15.12.2011, recommended appointment of the petitioner as Village Assistant. However, no further action was taken and the petitioner was allowed to attain superannuation without being given posting or service benefits. Subsequently, the petitioner made a representation on 22.07.2020, 27.07.2020 and 22.08.2023 seeking service benefits. Though the second respondent directed the 3rd respondent to take appropriate action, no relief was granted. Hence, the present writ petition.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that this Court may



direct the respondents to consider and pass orders on the petitioners representation within the stipulated time as fixed by this Court.

WEB COPY

4. The learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondent submitted that the representation will be considered and orders will be passed within the stipulated time as fixed by this Court.

5. Heard the learned counsel for both side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and in view of the limited request sought for by the petitioner, this court directs the first respondent to consider and pass orders on the petitioner's representation dated 22.08.2023 within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. With the above directions, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

08-01-2026

rli

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No



WEB COPY

WP No. 86 of 2026



M.DHANDAPANI J.

rli

- 1.The District Collector
Salem District Salem.
- 2.The Revenue Divisional Officer
O/o. The Revenue Divisional Officer
Salem, Salem District.
- 3.The Tahsildar
O/o. The Tahsildar Salem, Salem
District.

WP No. 86 of 2026

**08-01-
2026**



WEB COPY

WP No. 86 of 2026

