



WEB COPY



WA No. 666 of 2026

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS**

**DATED: 28-04-2026**

CORAM

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R. SURESH KUMAR**

**AND**

**THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.SENTHILKUMAR**

**WA No. 666 of 2026 AND**

**CMP NO. 6437 OF 2026**

The Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation (CBE)  
Ltd., Represented by its Managing Director,  
No.37, Mettupalayam Road,  
Coimbatore-641043

..Appellant

Vs

1. A.Pepi Anbu Chelvan  
S/o.K.S.Arul Dass  
30, Nehru Street,  
Surampatti, Erode-638009.
2. The State Of Tamilnadu  
Rep. by its Chairman of State Transport  
Undertaking and Additional Chief Secretary to  
Government, Transport Department,  
Chennai-600009
3. Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation  
Employees Pension Fund Trust,  
Rep By Its Administrator, No.2, Pallavan Salai,  
Chennai-600 002

..Respondents

Prayer : Writ Appeal under Clause XV of the Letters Patent to allow the Writ Appeal and set aside the order dt. 12.09.2025 passed in WP No.34781/2025.



WA No. 666 of 2026

For Appellant :

Mr.M.Murali Vinodh

For Respondents :

Mr.C.Vigneswaran, for R1,  
Mr.S.Yashwanth,  
Additional Government Pleader for R2,  
Mr.C.S.K.Sathish for R3

### **Judgment**

**(Judgment of the Court was delivered by R.Suresh Kumar J.)**

This writ appeal has been directed against the order of the learned Writ Court dated 12.09.2025 made in W.P.No.34781 of 2025.

2. The first respondent herein was the writ petitioner, who was an employee of the appellant Transport Corporation and after attaining the age of superannuation, he retired peacefully, but his retiral benefits since has not been paid, he approached the writ court by filing the aforesaid writ petition. The learned Writ Court allowed the writ petition through the impugned order dated 12.09.2025.

3. Though as against the said order the present writ appeal has been filed, Mr.C.Vigneswaran learned counsel for the first respondent / writ petitioner submits that the order of the writ Court has been substantially complied with and only a small portion of the order is yet to be complied with, and therefore the appeal has become infructuous. However, learned counsel for the appellant Transport Corporation made an attempt to assail the order, which is impugned herein.



WA No. 666 of 2026

WEB COPY

4. We, after having gone through the order passed by the Writ Court, is not impressed with the submission made by the learned counsel for the appellant. As the first respondent / writ petitioner has peacefully retired from service, insofar as his retiral benefits are concerned, it should have been paid within a period which has been fixed under the Statute. However, since the same has not been paid it was directed to be paid in twelve equal instalments with interest at the rate of 9% per annum. The said order passed by the Writ Court is fully justifiable and therefore, we do not wish to interfere with the same.

5. In that view of the matter, we are not inclined to entertain this writ appeal and the same stands dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

**(R.S.K.,J.) (N.S.,J.)**  
**28-04-2026**

Index: Yes/No  
Speaking/Non-speaking order  
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

KST

To

1. The Chairman, State Transport Undertaking and Additional Chief Secretary to Government, Transport Department, Chennai-600009
2. The Administrator, Tamilnadu State Transport Corporation  
Employees Pension Fund Trust, No.2, Pallavan Salai, Chennai-600 002.



WEB COPY



WA No. 666 of 2026

**R.SURESH KUMAR J.  
AND  
N.SENTHILKUMAR J.**

**KST**

**WA No. 666 of 2026  
AND  
CMP NO. 6437 OF 2026**

**28-04-2026**

---

Page 4 of 4