



Arb. Appln. No.1743 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE N.ANAND VENKATESH

Arb. Appln. No.1743 of 2025

M/s.Cholamandalam Investment and Finance Company Limited

'Chola Crest', C 54 & 55, Super B-4

Thiru Vi ka Industrial Estate

Guindy, Chennai - 600 032

Rep. by its Authorised Signatory

.. Applicant

Vs.

M/s.PD Construction

Prop.Mr.Sunil Kumar

Village Rampura Chandpura Karhal School

Mainpuri, Uttar Pradesh 205 264

.. Respondent

Application filed under Order XIV Rule 8 of Original Side Rules r/w Section 9 (1) (ii) (a) (b) (d) & (e) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, praying to appoint employee of the applicant viz., Mr.Manoj Kshyap, Branch Receivables Manager, as receiver to seize and take possession of the vehicle which is morefully described in the schedule to the Judges Summons which is lying in the custody of respondent or respondent's men, agents, servants from respondent premises or wherever found with police aid and break open of premises, if necessary.

For Applicant : Mr.D.Pradeep Kumar



Arb. Appln. No.1743 of 2025

ORDER

WEB COPY

When the matter came up for hearing on 05.01.2026, this Court passed the following order:

“This application has been filed under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, for appointment of a receiver to seize and deliver the vehicle from the respondent to the applicant, if necessary, with police protection and by breaking open the premises.

2.Heard Mr.D.Pradeep Kumar, learned counsel for applicant and carefully perused the materials available on record.

3.It is seen that an award has already been passed on 20.12.2023 directing the respondent to pay a sum of Rs.18,28,636/-. The specific case of the applicant is that till date, the award has not been challenged. The applicant is also not able to take possession of the vehicle. It is under these circumstances, the present application has been filed before this Court.

4.Considering the fact that an award has been passed and the applicant must be able to recover the amount from the respondent, this Court is inclined to appoint a receiver.

5.Accordingly, Mr.Manoj Kshyap, Branch Receivables Manager, is appointed as the receiver and the receiver is permitted to seize the vehicle from the respondent or wherever it is found and by breaking open the premises, if required with police assistance.

6.Notice to the respondent returnable by 16.02.2026. Private notice is also permitted.

List this application on 16.02.2026.”

2. Private notice has been served on respondent and affidavit of service has also been filed. The name of respondent has also been printed in the cause list. The respondent is neither present nor represented through counsel.



Arb. Appln. No.1743 of 2025

Hence, the apprehension raised on the side of the applicant that the respondent is trying to secret the vehicle is *prima facie* established.

3. Learned counsel for applicant submitted that the subject vehicle is yet to be seized.

4. In view of the aforesaid submission, the order passed by this Court on 05.01.2026 is made absolute.

Accordingly, this application is disposed of.

16.02.2026

Index:Yes/No
Speaking Order/Non-speaking Order
NCC:Yes/No
gm



WEB COPY



Arb. Appln. No.1743 of 2025

N.ANAND VENKATESH, J

gm

Arb. Appln. No.1743 of 2025

16.02.2026