



WEB COPY



W.A.Nos.4007 & 4008 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09.01.2026

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA,
CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

W.A.Nos.4007 & 4008 of 2025

&

C.M.P.Nos.32488 & 32490 of 2025

S.Bharanidharan .. Appellant in
WA No.4007/25

B.Devaraj .. Appellant in
WA No.4008/25

Vs.

1. Tambaram Corporation
Rep. By its Commissioner
No.28, Muthu Ranga Mudali Street
Tambaram West, Chennai 600 045.

2. The Special District Revenue Officer
(Land Acquisition)
Chennai Kanniya Kumari Industrial Road Scheme
Collectorate Building
Kancheepuram.

3. The Special Tahsildar
(Land Acquisition)
Tambaram, Chennai 600 045.

.. Respondents in
both W.As.



W.A.Nos.4007 & 4008 of 2025

Prayer : Appeals filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order dated 02.12.2025 made in W.P.No.45045 of 2025 and against the order dated 02.12.2025 made in W.P.No.45043 of 2025 respectively.

For Appellants	: Mr.K.K.Senthilvelan Senior Counsel For Mr.U.Rajkumar For Mr.J.Thomas Saran Singh (WA No.4007 of 2025) Mr.NGR Prasad (Through Video Conferencing) For Mr.R.Thirumoorthy For Mr.J.Thomas Saran Singh (WA No.4008 of 2025)
For Respondents	: Mr.P.Srinivas Standing Counsel for Respondent-1 Mr.E.Vijay Anand Additional Government Pleader for Respondents 2 & 3

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the Court was delivered by
the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

From a perusal of the orders passed by the learned Single Judge, it is reflected that appellants herein, at the time of hearing, only sought a direction for allotment of an alternative plot without



W.A.Nos.4007 & 4008 of 2025

challenging the legality and validity of the acquisition proceedings.

WEB COPY

2. Learned counsel for respective appellants would submit that many grounds were raised by them in the writ petitions, including challenge to the legality and validity of the acquisition proceedings. What has been recorded in the order is not correct, as, many other grounds were also pressed into service, but not referred to.

3. If that be the reason, learned counsel for appellants are given liberty to file review petitions.

4. With liberty, appeals are dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently, the interim applications are also dismissed.

(MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA, CJ) (G.ARUL MURUGAN,J)
09.01.2026

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No

kpl

Page 3 of 5



W.A.Nos.4007 & 4008 of 2025

WEB COPY

To

1. The Commissioner
Tambaram Corporation
No.28, Muthu Ranga Mudali Street
Tambaram West
Chennai 600 045.
2. The Special District Revenue Officer
(Land Acquisition)
Chennai Kanniya Kumari Industrial Road Scheme
Collectorate Building
Kancheepuram.
3. The Special Tahsildar
(Land Acquisition)
Tambaram
Chennai 600 045.



WEB COPY



W.A.Nos.4007 & 4008 of 2025

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
G.ARUL MURUGAN,J.

(kpl)

W.A.Nos.4007 & 4008 of 2025

09.01.2026