



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL.O.P.No.35102 of 2025

Venda

... Petitioner

Versus

The State rep by its,
The Sub Inspector of Police,
Kondapalayam Police Station,
Kondapalayam, Ranipet District.
(Crime No.194 of 2025)

.. Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of her arrest in Crime No.194 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.G.Senthilkumar

For Respondent : Ms.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest by the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 3(2)(a), 3(2)(b) and 4(1) of Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956 in Crime No.194 of 2025 registered on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The allegation against the petitioner is that he was involved in



running a brothel house and on information, the respondent party went to the premises, however all the persons who stayed there in the premises were ran away and on inspection of rooms, certain condoms were recovered, based on which FIR was registered. Further investigation revealed that the petitioner had taken the premises on lease. Hence, the complaint was lodged.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has already filed Crl.OP.No.32624 of 2025 challenging the authority of officer who conducted the search and inspection in this case, and this Court has granted stay of filing the final report. He further submitted that no one was rescued from the premises and that the case was registered purely on suspicion. Hence, he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) appearing for the respondent reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner is the lessee of the premises. However, she opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel on both sides and the fact that no one was rescued and no one was taken into



custody from the premises and that the allegation against the petitioner is only that he is the lessee of the premises, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the ***learned Judicial Magistrate, Sholingur, Ranipet District***, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only), with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police, everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during



investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]***; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

02.01.2026

drl

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate,
Sholingur, Ranipet District.

2. The Sub Inspector of Police,
Kondapalayam Police Station,
Kondapalayam, Ranipet District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

drl

CRL.O.P.No.35102 of 2025

02.01.2026