



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS



DATED: 09.02.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE Mr. JUSTICE N. ANAND VENKATESH

Arb Appln No. 1733 of 2025

M/s.Cholamandalam Investment And Finance Co Ltd
Chola Crest, C 54 and 55, Super B-4,
Thiru Vi Ka Industrial Estate, Guindy, Chennai
Rep. by its Authorised Signatory

Applicant(s)

Vs

Shakeel Ahmed

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To appoint employee of the Applicant viz Mr.Arun Tyagi, Area Business Manager, as Receiver to seize and take possession of the Vehicle which is more fully described in the schedule to the Judges Summons which is lying in the custody of respondent or respondent men, agents, servants from respondent premises or wherever found with Police aid and break open of premises if necessary.

For Applicant(s): Mr.D.Pradeep Kumar

ORDER

This application has been filed under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (in short “the Act”).

2.When the matter came up for hearing on 02.01.2026, this Court passed the following order:

“This application has been filed under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, [for brevity 'the Act'] for appointment of a receiver to seize and deliver the vehicle from the respondent to the applicant, if necessary, with police protection and by breaking open the premises.

2. Heard Mr.D.Pradeep Kumar, learned counsel for applicant and carefully perused the materials available on record.

3. It is seen that an award has already been passed on 17.10.2025 directing the respondent to pay a sum of Rs.8,98,521/-. The specific case of the applicant is that till date, the award has not been challenged. The applicant



WEB COPY

N. ANAND VENKATESH



gya

is also not able to take possession of the vehicle. According to the applicant, the total amount that is due and payable by the respondent is Rs.10,16,812.10/- as on 28.11.2025. It is under these circumstances, the present application has been filed before this Court.

4. Considering the fact that an award has been passed and the applicant must be able to recover the amount from the respondent, this Court is inclined to appoint a receiver.

5. Accordingly, Mr.Arun Tyagi, Area Business Manager, is appointed as the receiver and the receiver is permitted to seize the vehicle from the respondent or wherever it is found and by breaking open the premises, if required with police assistance.

6. Notice to the respondent returnable by 02.02.2026. Private notice is also permitted.

List this application on 02.02.2026.”

3.Private notice has been served on respondent and affidavit of service has also been filed. The name of the respondent has also been printed in the cause list. The respondent is neither present nor represented through counsel. Hence, the apprehension raised on the side of the applicant that the respondent is trying to secret the vehicle is *prima facie* established.

4.When the matter was taken up for hearing today, learned counsel for the applicant submitted that the subject vehicle has been seized by the Receiver.

Recording the same, this application is closed.

gya

09.02.2026

Arb Appln No. 1733 of 2025