



WEB COPY



W.P.(Crl.)No.68 of 2026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19.01.2026

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

W.P.(Crl.)No.68 of 2026

Ashwini

...Petitioner

Vs.

State rep. by,

1. The Director General of police
O/o. Director General Office,
Chennai – 600 005.
2. The Superintendent of Police
O/o. Superintendent of Police Office,
Kancheepuram District.
3. The Sub Inspector of Police
Perunagal Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
(Crime No.69 of 2025)

4. Lingesh

...Respondents

Writ Petition (Criminal) filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to take action based on the petitioner's representation dated 28.10.2025 which is pending on the file of the 3rd respondent.



WEB COPY



W.P.(Crl.)No.68 of 2026

For Petitioner : Mr. R.Thirumoorthy

For Respondents : Mr. K.M.D.Muhilan, APP, for R1 to R3

ORDER

This writ petition (criminal) has been filed seeking to direct the respondents to take action on the petitioner's representation dated 28.10.2025 (referred to as 29.10.2025 by the petitioner in the prayer and petition averments), pending on the file of the 3rd respondent.

2. Mr.K.M.D.Muhilan, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, takes notice on behalf of the respondents 1 to 3. In view of the consent expressed by the learned counsel on either side, this writ petition (criminal) is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage itself.

3. Since no order adverse to the interest of the 4th respondent is passed, notice to the said respondent is dispensed with.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner's son was brutally assaulted by one Lingesh and his associates, who also hurled invectives in filthy language and made caste-based remarks against him. Therefore, the petitioner made a complaint before the respondent police, pursuant to which, an FIR in Crime No.69 of 2025 came



W.P.(Crl.)No.68 of 2026

to be registered, however, only for the minor offences under Sections 296(b), 118(1) and 351(3) of BNS and not for the major offences such as attempt to murder and caste-based invectives. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioner made a representation before the respondents on 28.10.2025, seeking to include necessary provisions under the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and also other offences. However, till date, no action has been taken on the said representation. Hence, the petitioner has come up with this writ petition (criminal).

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents 1 to 3, submitted that the petitioner's representation dated 28.10.2025 will be considered and appropriate action will be taken in accordance with law within the time stipulated by this Court,

6. In view of the above submission made by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, directs the 3rd respondent to consider the petitioner's representation dated 28.10.2025 and take necessary action in accordance with law, within a period of four (4) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, after conducting due enquiry.



W.P.(Crl.)No.68 of 2026

WEB COPY

7. This writ petition (criminal) stands disposed of accordingly. No costs.

19.01.2026

skt

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To:

1. The Director General of police
O/o. Director General Office,
Chennai – 600 005.
2. The Superintendent of Police
O/o. Superintendent of Police Office,
Kancheepuram District.
3. The Sub Inspector of Police
Perunagal Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY



W.P.(Crl.)No.68 of 2026

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

skt

W.P.(Crl.)No.68 of 2026

19.01.2026