



WEB COPY

CRL OP Nos. 35000 & 35016 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-01-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP Nos. 35000 & 35016 of 2025

1. Ayyammal

W/o.Natarajan, 20110, Poolavari

Sukumar Nagar west, Engine Thottam,
Kangayam Road, Tiruppur-641604. and
another

2.Tamilarasi

W/o.Sankatarl, 61,Ambedkar Nagar,
Vellapalayam, Kidarai,
Gobichettipalayam, Erode-638458.

Petitioner(s) in
CrI.O.P.No.35000 of 2025

1. Murugasamy

S/o.Ammasai, 44, 4th street, Chinna
semmedu, Tiruppur-641004. and 4
Others

2. M. Prabhu

S/o.Mayavan, 1, SemmeduThottam,
Amarajothi, Kangayam road, Tiruppur-
641604.

3. Mathan

S/o.Thangavel, 8, Chinnasemmedu,
Tiruppur- 641604.

4. Alamelu

W/o.Rangasamy, I /46,Mettupalayam,
Palladam Taluk, Poomalur,
Tiruppur-6,41653

5. Subramani



WEB COPY

CRL OP Nos. 35000 & 35016 of 2025



S/o.Ammasai, 44,4rh street, Chinna
semmedu, Tiruppur-641004.

Petitioners in
Crl.O.P.No.35016 of 2025

Vs

1. State Rep.by, The Inspector of
Police,
Tiruppur South Police Station, Tiruppur
City. In Cr.No.668/2025.

Respondent in both
Crl.O.P.s

COMMON PRAYER

To enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of arrest by respondent pending disposal in Crime No.668/2025 dated on 13.09.2025 on the file of Tiruppur South Police Station, Tiruppur City.

For Petitioner(s) in Lakshminarayana A
both Crl.O.Ps.

For Respondent in M/S.J.R.Archana
both Crl.O.Ps. Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

COMMON ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 91(2), 115(2), 351(3), 126(2), 324(2), 323(c), 305(A) of BNS and section 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Women Harassment Act in Crime No. 668 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners trespassed into the land which belongs to Akilandadevi's husband, abused and assaulted the said



Akilandadevi and also threatened her; and stolen some of the articles kept in the said house. Hence, the complaint.

WEB COPY

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that a civil suit has already been filed by the petitioners claiming title through one of the legal heirs, and counter claim has been made by the defacto complainant's client. He further submitted that the petitioners are innocent person and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Therefore, he prays for grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) would submit that there are totally 8 accused in this case and the petitioners are ranked as A2 to A3 and A4 to A8. She further submitted that the investigation in this case is pending. Hence, she opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the nature of allegations, the majority of which relate to land dispute, and there are no bad antecedents against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial**



Magistrate-II, Tiruppur, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only),

with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition:

(a) If the petitioners fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioners shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;



WEB COPY

CRL OP Nos. 35000 & 35016
2025



(e) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS.

02-01-2026

Mpa

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate-II, Tiruppur.

2. State Rep. by, The Inspector of Police,
Tiruppur South Police Station, Tiruppur
City. In Cr.No.668/2025.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP Nos. 35000 & 35016 of
2025



K.RAJASEKAR J.
mpa

**CRL OP Nos. 35000 &
35016 of 2025**

02-01-2026