



WEB COPY



Crl.O.P.No.34792 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO.34792 of 2025

Dinesh Meganathan S/o.Meganathan ... Petitioner/A5
Vs

The State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
CCB-II,
Chennai CCB
Chennai

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioner on
anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent in connection with
Crime No.27 of 2025 pending investigation on the file of the respondent
police.

For Petitioner : Mr.Bharath Kumar

For Respondent : Ms.J.R. Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent
police for the offences punishable under Sections 465, 467, 468, 471,
120(B) and 34 of IPC in Crime No.27 of 2025 on the file of the respondent
Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner who is ranked as A5 in this case. The land measuring 2400 sq.ft., originally belongs to one Eshwaramoorthy and suppressing the same and by impersonation, A1 had executed a settlement deed of the said land in favour of A2, who in turn, transferred the property in favour of A3; A3 has also gave power of attorney to A4 and consequently, sale deed was also executed in favour of A4. Thereafter, A4 executed power of attorney in favour of this petitioner, thereby accused herein grabbed the land. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case, and has not at all involved in the alleged offence and he is only a creditor who has advanced loan to the property without knowing the ownership of A4 and has also cancelled the power of attorney executed in his favour and further submitted that he will not deal with the property; and that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court, hence sought for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police while opposing the anticipatory bail to the petitioner



Crl.O.P.No.34792 of 2025

reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that there are totally eight accused and the main accused A1, A3, A7 and A8 are arrested and released on bail; the petitioner is a power of attorney obtained power from A4 to deal with the property on behalf of A4 and that the investigation of this case is pending.

5. I have gone through the FIR and other materials on record and considering the submissions made, facts and circumstances of this case, nature of allegation, the fact that A1 and A3 was arrested and released on bail and further, considering the submissions made by the petitioner/A5 before this Court that he is ready to cancel the power of attorney and will not deal with the property and further custodial interrogation of the petitioner herein is not necessary for the purpose of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Poonamallee** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)** with **two sureties each** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned



Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of copy of this order, this Order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

[f] The petitioner shall cancel the power of attorney or file affidavit before Magistrate Court that he will not enforce the power of attorney to deal with the property.

28.01.2026



Crl.O.P.No.34792 of 2025

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

ssa

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.I,
Poonamallee
2. The Inspector of Police,
CCB-II,
Chennai CCB
Chennai
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P. No.34792 of 2025

28.01.2026