



WEB COPY

WP No. 49639 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-01-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE ABDUL QUDDHOSE

WP No. 49639 of 2025

Vasumathi

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector
District Collectorate, Ranipet
District-632 401.

2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Ranipet Division, Ranipet District-632
401.

3.The Tahsildhar
Arakonam Taluk, Ranipet District-632
401.

4.The Village Administrative Officer,
Aranthapuram Village, Arakonam
Taluk, Ranipet District-632 401.

5.Ranjith Kumar

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

directing the respondents 1 to 4 to dispose of the petitioners representation dated 10.11.2025 regarding patta transfer expeditiously in accordance with law.



For Petitioner(s): Mr.K.Balaji

For Respondent(s): Mr.D.Ravichander,
Spl.G.P. For R1 To R4
Ms.M.Malarvizhi Udayakumar,
For R5

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed seeking for a direction to the respondents 1 to 4 to dispose of the petitioner's representation dated 10.11.2025.

2.In the said representation, the petitioner has requested the respondents 1 to 4 not to transfer the patta for the property, morefully described in the affidavit filed in support of this writ petition in favour of the fifth respondent. According to the petitioner, the Settlement Deed standing in the name of the fifth respondent is a fabricated document and the same is also the subject matter of a Civil Suit.

3.Mr.D.Ravichander, learned Special Government Pleader accepts notice on behalf of the respondents 1 to 4.

4.Learned counsel for the fifth respondent would submit that the allegations made by the petitioner in the affidavit filed in support of this writ petition against the fifth respondent are false. She would further submit that



only by virtue of a Settlement Deed executed by the fifth respondent's father, the fifth respondent is seeking for issuance of patta in his name for the property, morefully described in the affidavit filed in support of this writ petition

5.This Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the contentions of the learned counsel for the fifth respondent. It is for the Tahsildar to look into the same and decide the issue as to whether the fifth respondent is entitled for patta or not.

6.No prejudice would be caused to the respondents 1 to 4 if a direction is issued to the third respondent to pass final orders, on merits and in accordance with law on the petitioner's representation dated 10.11.2025, after hearing the objections of the fifth respondent as well as any other third party whom the third respondent deems fit to enquire within a time frame to be fixed by this Court.

7.For the foregoing reasons, this writ petition is disposed of by directing the fifth respondent to submit his written objections to the third respondent with regard to the petitioner's representation dated 10.11.2025, which is the subject matter of this writ petition along with the supporting documents, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of the said objections within the stipulated time, the third respondent shall pass final orders, on merits and in accordance with law on the petitioner's representation



dated 10.11.2025, which is the subject matter of this writ petition and thereafter decide as to whether the fifth respondent is entitled for patta or not for the property, morefully described in the affidavit filed in support of this writ petition, within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of the written objections from the fifth respondent. No costs.

vga

02-01-2026



To
WEB COPY

- 1.The District Collector
District Collectorate, Ranipet
District-632 401.
- 2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Ranipet Division, Ranipet District-632
401.
- 3.The Tahsildhar
Arakonam Taluk, Ranipet District-632
401.
- 4.The Village Administrative Officer,
Aranthapuram Village, Arakonam
Taluk, Ranipet District-632 401.



WEB COPY

WP No. 49639 of 2025



ABDUL QUDDHOSE J.

vga

WP No. 49639 of 2025

02-01-2026