



WEB COPY

WP No. 49642 of 2025



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 02-01-2026**

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY**

**WP No. 49642 of 2025  
and W.M.P.No.55485 of 2025**

D.Ramya Durai

Petitioner

Vs

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer,  
Ambattur Division,  
Thiruvallur District-600 053.

2.Durai Krishnamachari

3.D.Kalyani

Respondents

**Prayer:** Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for the issuance of Writ of Certiorari, calling for the records of the first respondent in Na.Ka.No.7895/2025/A4 dated 08.12.2025 and quash the same.

For Petitioner: Mr.K.Balaji

For R1: Mr.A.M.Ayyadurai  
Government Advocate

**ORDER**

The writ petition is filed challenging the impugned order dated 08.12.2025 made in Na.Ka.No.7895/2025/A4.



2. Upon hearing the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and perusing the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition and the other material records of the case, it is the case of the petitioner that she is the daughter of the second and third respondents. They have voluntarily and irrevocably settled the property in favour of the petitioner. There is no clause relating to maintenance or making the settlement deed revocable. At this juncture, when the second and third respondents are residing in another property which is a leasehold property, suddenly, at the insistence of the petitioner's sister, the parents have filed the petition. The petitioner has more than a *prima facie* case and the petition itself has to be dismissed. In view of the authoritative ruling of the Honourable Supreme Court of India, when the main matter is pending enquiry, an interim order ought not to have been passed. The interim order will create problems on the ground. Therefore, the petitioner is before this Court challenging the interim order.

3. I have heard the learned Government Advocate taking notice on behalf of the first respondent.

4. It is stated that the main petition is posted for enquiry today. Let the enquiry be conducted as expeditiously as possible and final orders be passed, and whoever is the aggrieved party can file appropriate proceedings against the



final order. Since the interim order was passed taking into account the ground situation without expressing any opinion on merits, this Court does not want to interfere with the interim orders.

5. In view thereof, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the first respondent to complete the proceedings in Na.Ka.No.7895/2025/A4 dated 08.12.2025 as expeditiously as possible, in any event not later than six weeks from the date of receipt of the web copy of the order, without waiting for the certified copy of the order. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs.

**02-01-2026**  
**1/2**

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

ns1

To  
The Revenue Divisional Officer,  
Ambattur Division,  
Thiruvallur District-600 053.



WEB COPY

WP No. 49642 of 2025



**D.BHARATHA CHAKRAVARTHY J.**

nsi

**W.P.No.49642 of 2025**

**02-01-2026**