



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25-03-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

CRP No. 847 of 2020


V.T.Somasundaram
S/o Thambirajagounder, Pazhayagaram,
Aayakaranpulam 1st Sethi, Vedaranyam Tk,
Nagapattinam District

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. Ammalu
W/o Veerappa Gounder, 1 To 4 Rep By Their
Power Holder Gandhi, S/o Ramamirdham, Res
At Pazhayagaram, Aayakaranpulam 1st Sethi,
Vedaranyam Tk, Nagapattinam District
2. Kalaichelvi
W/o Annadurai, 1 To 4 Rep By Their Power
Holder Gandhi, S/o Ramamirdham, Res At
Pazhayagaram, Aayakaranpulam 1st Sethi,
Vedaranyam Tk, Nagapattinam District
3. Kalaivani
W/o Moorthi, 1 To 4 Rep By Their Power
Holder Gandhi, S/o Ramamirdham, Res At
Pazhayagaram, Aayakaranpulam 1st Sethi,
Vedaranyam Tk, Nagapattinam District
4. Duraisingam
S/o Panneerselvam, 1 To 4 Rep By Their
Power Holder Gandhi, S/o Ramamirdham, Res
At Pazhayagaram, Aayakaranpulam 1st Sethi,
Vedaranyam Tk, Nagapattinam District

..Respondent(s)



PRAYER: Civil Revision Petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, to set aside the decree and judgement dated 08/01/2018 made in I.A. No.262/2016 in OS.No.41/2011 on the file of the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Vedaranyam

For Petitioner(s): No appearance

For Respondent(s): Dismissed vide Court order dated 02.08.2023

ORDER

When the matter was taken up hearing on 18.03.2026, there was no appearance on behalf of the petitioner and hence, the matter was directed to be listed under the caption “for dismissal” on 25.03.2026.

2. Even today, when the matter was taken up for hearing in the morning session, a request was made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner to take up the matter post lunch as his Senior Counsel was not available in the morning session. Hence, the matter was passed-over to be taken up after lunch session.

3. After the recess, when the matter was taken up for hearing, there was no representation on the side of the petitioner, again it was passed-over to be taken up at the end of the list. When the matter was again taken up for hearing at the end of the list, there was no representation on the side of the petitioner . It is seen that the petitioner is not diligent enough to prosecute the case. Hence,



this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition also closed. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

25-03-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

GBA

To

1. The District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate,
Vedaranyam.
2. The Section Officer,
VR Section,
Madras High Court, Chennai.



WEB COPY

CRP No. 847 of 2



K.KUMARESH BABU, J.

GBA

CRP No. 847 of 2020

25-03-2026