



WEB COPY

A No. 262 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27-01-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE R.N.MANJULA

**A. No. 262 of 2026
in A. No.5367 of 2025
in C.S. No.426 of 2003**

R.Ravinder Kumar
S/o.Late G.Rishabchand,
VII - 1, C.Kances Enclave, 1, Ramakrishnan Street,
T.Nagar, Chennai 600 017,
currently residing at Rishab House, 30,
Govindu Street, T.Nagar, Chennai 600 017.

..Applicant(s)

Vs

1. M/S PAMBAN APPARELS LTD.,
Rep. by its Proprietor,
No.230, Vaigai Dam Road, Kanavi Taluk,
Koilpatti Village, Aandipatti, Theni 620 512.
2. R.Ashok
Director of Pamban Apparels Ltd,
No.23-D, Gandhi Road, NRT Nagar, Theni,
Vaigaiyur 626 531.
3. G.Kumar
S/o.R.Gopalan,
Director of Pamban Apparels Limited,
M-4D, 3rd Avenue, Ashok Nagar,
Chennai 600 083.



4. R.Gopalan
S/o.V.K.Ramanujam,
E-52, R.B.I. Quarters Besant Nagar,
Chennai 600 090.

5. M/s.Pamban Software Ltd,
No.230, Vaigai Dam Road,
Kanavi Taluk, Koilpatti Village,
Aandipatti, Theni 620 512.

6. Mrs.Renuka,
M/o.Late G.Madan

7. Mrs.Krishnapriya
W/o.Late G.Madan

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER – This application is filed under Order V Rule 20 of C.P.C., to permit the applicant to effect the service of notice to the 6th and 7th Respondents through paper publications in Tamil/English language which will be circulated in Theni District in A.No.5367of 2025 in C.S.No.426 of 2003 and affirming summons in court notice board and last known residence on the file of this court.

For Applicant(s): Mr.M.Nandhakumar

For Respondent(s): No appearance

ORDER

The applicant/plaintiff has filed this application seeking substituted service by stating that the notice against the proposed respondents 7 and 8 cannot be served because the notice sent to the respondents 6 and 7 were returned as “addressee moved to other City and no such person in the address”.



2. In such case, it would have been appropriate if the plaintiff had sought the present address of the respondents 6 and 7 from the very same counsel from whom he had already got the address of the legal heirs and taken efforts to serve the notice to the respondents through regular mode. Without exhausting the avenues to serve notice through regular mode, filing application seeking substituted serve is a pre-matured one.

3. With these observations, this application is dismissed with the liberty given to the applicant to renew the application of the very same kind in the event of not getting the correct address of the respondents 6 and 7 despite all the efforts as stated already has been exhausted.

27-01-2026

Index: Yes/No

GSA



WEB COPY

A No. 262 of 2



DR.R.N.MANJULA, J.

GSA

**A No. 262 of 2026
in A.No.5367 of 2025
in C.S.No.426 of 2003**

27-01-2026