



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 12.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CrI.O.P.No.537 of 2026

1.M.Suresh

2.Deva @ Devakumar

... Petitioners

Vs.

State Rep by

The Inspector of Police,

Sethiyathope Police Station,

Sethiyathope, Cuddalore District

(Crime No.216 of 2025)

.. Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with the Crime No.216 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.D.Ilayaraja

For Respondent : Ms.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2), 326(a) of BNS 2023 and 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development & Regulation) Act, 1957 in Crime No. 216 of 2025, on the file of the respondent Police, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioners were found in illegal transportation of two bags of river sand. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that these petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that these petitioners are ready to abide by any condition imposed by this court and hence he prayed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal side) for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Earlier this court has dismissed the bail petition of the petitioners in CrI.OP.No.27832 of 2025 vide order dated 13.10.2025 on the following reason:

“6. Considering the gravity of the offence committed by the petitioners and the fact that the investigation is early stage, and if the bail is granted, they would indulge in similar offence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners“



6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations and the submissions made by learned counsels on either side and since there is no change in circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

12.01.2026

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Sethiyathope Police Station,
Sethiyathope, Cuddalore District

2. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras,
Chennai 600 104.



WEB COPY



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

gv

Crl.O.P.No.537 of 2026

12.01.2026