



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 36 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

**DATED: 06-01-2026**

CORAM

**THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR**

**CRL OP No. 36 of 2026**

Alagusundaram

Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu Rep.by  
The Inspector of Police  
District Crime Branch,  
Tiruvallur District.

Respondent(s)

**PRAYER:** This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 to grant bail to the petitioner in the event of arrest in connection with Cr.No.11 of 2020 on the file of the District Crime Branch, Tiruvallur.

For Petitioner(S): Mr.Gokula Rao  
For Respondent(s): Ms.J.R.Archana, Government  
Advocate (Crl.Side)

**ORDER**

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under 417, 419, 420, 465, 468 and 471 of IPC in connection with the Cr. No.11 of 2020, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the defacto complainant had purchased the vacant land measuring to an extent of 2100 sq.feet from one Jayakumar in the year 1995 and thereafter, she has not developed the property



and kept it in idle condition. It is alleged that in the year 2019, she came to know that some unknown person has built a house in her property thereby, she verified with the registration department and found that a sale deed was registered in the name of one G.Kavitha, who in turn sold the property in favour petitioner's wife in the year 2016. Hence, the complaint.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner submits that petitioner is an innocent person and she has been falsely implicated in this case. He submits that petitioner is the husband of one Banumathi, who is a third party purchaser of the subject property. He also submits that the petitioner is ready to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court and prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) for the respondent police, reiterated the prosecution case and reported that there are totally 8 accused in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A7. During the course of investigation, it came to light that all the accused persons colluded together by impersonation by Nalini/A4 and executed a sale deed in favour of one G.Kavitha, who in-turn sold the property in favour of this petitioner's wife. Hence, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.



6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of allegations, submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the overtact leveled against this petitioner and all the allegations against him is borne out of records, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary in this case, hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Tiruvallur** , on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am., for a period three weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[b] the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence;



[c] the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 B.N.S.2023.

jai

**06-01-2026**



To

WEB COPY

1. The Inspector of Police  
District Crime Branch, Tiruvallur  
District.
2. Judicial Magistrate, Tiruvallur.
3. The Public Prosecutor,  
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 36 of 2026



**K.RAJASEKAR J.**

jai

**CRL OP No. 36 of 2026**

**06-01-2026**