



WEB COPY

A No. 170 of



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19-01-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P. DHANABAL

A.No.170 of 2026

and C.S.No.57 of 2019

1. Ashok Kumar Gupta HUF
No.317, Thadagam Link Road, Saibaba Colony,
Coimbatore,
Rep by its Kartha Mr. Ashok Kumar Gupta
2. Mrs.Bimla Gupta
No.317, Thasagam Link Road, Saibaba Colony,
Ciombatore
3. Navneet Gupta (HUF)
No.317, Thasagam Link Road, Saibaba Colony,
Ciombatore,
Rep by its Kartha Mr. Navneet Gupta
4. Avinash Gupta (HUF)
No.317, Thasagam Link Road, Saibaba Colony,
Ciombatore,
Rep by its Kartha Mr. Avinash Gupta
5. Mr.Anand Swaroop GuptaNo.16, Venkatraman
Lane Kamala II St, Chinna
Chokkikulam,Madurai
6. Mr.Gajanand Saraf
No.80(Oldno.141), Greams Road, Chennai
7. Mr.Gajanand Saraf (HUF)
No.80 (Old No.141),Greams Road, Ch 6, Rep
by its Kartha Mr. Gajanand Saraf
8. Mr.Gajanand Saraf (HUF)
No.80(Old No.141), Greams Road, Ch 6,
Rep by its Kartha Mr. Gajanand Saraf



9. Mohan Lal Fatehpuria (HUF)
F-33, Green Park Main, New Delhi, Rep by its
Karthi Mr. Mohan Lal Fatehpuria

10. Mahinder Kumar Gupta (HUF)
No.1/2, Subramaniyapuram St, No.2, R S
Puram, Coimbatore, Rep by its Karthi Mr.
Mahinder Kumar Gupta

..Applicant(s)

Vs.

1. Laxmichand Gupta (Since Deceased)
S/o.Late Sri Sitaram Gupta
2. Mrs.Sulochana Aggarwal
Naveen Kutir, Rani Bazar, Bikaner
3. Mr.Ravindra Kumar Gupta
No.116 (Old No.247), Royapettah High Road,
Royapettah, Chennai
4. Mrs.Namita P Gupta
No.116 (Old No.247), Royapettah High Road,
Royapettah, Ch 14
5. Mrs.Sita Devi Gupta
No.4, Rammar Koil St, Ramnagar, Coimbatore
6. Mrs.Sulochana Devi Fatehpuria
No.4799, Ram Bazar, Cloth Market, Delhi
7. Mr.Pawan Kumar Gupta No.4, Rammar Koil St,
Ramnagar, Coimbatore
8. Indian Overseas Bank
Rep by its Manager,
Muthialpet Branch,
230, Thambu chetty St, Ch 1
9. Mrs.Sheela Jhunjhunwala
Daughter Of Late Laxmichand Gupta
And Wife Of Ravin Javin Jhunjhunwala Tivoli
Bldg Unit 13-01 Hirnandani Gardens, Powai,
Mumbai-400 076, Maharashtra.



10. Mrs. Kavitha Pittie
D/o Late Laxmichand Gupta
W/o. Sri Vinod Kumar Pittie
No.31, Vikash Vaibhav, Chs Ltd, No.31,
Peddar Road, Mumbai-400 026.

11. Mrs. Sushma Bansal
D/o. Late Laxmichand Gupta
And W/o Sri Anil Kumar Bansal, Bansal Villa,
Kodialguttu Road, Bejai Po. Opp Shakthi
Palace Apts, Mangalore-575004

..Respondent(s)


Prayer: This petition has been filed to amend the final decree dated 18/09/2025 in the following manner. (i) After the name of Sita Devi Gupta, viz the 4th Respondent/4th Defendant in A.No.1579 of 2024, insert the words Since Deceased, (i) Amend line No.6 of Paragraph No.6 of the order dated 18/09/2025 passed in A.No.1579 of 2024 by inserting the words except the 7th Respondent/8th Defendant after the words grounds to the respondents/Defendants.

For Applicants : Mr.G.Rajkumar

For Respondents : Mr.R.Bharath Kumar – R2, R4, R5 & R7

ORDER

Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel appearing for the applicants would submit that in the cause title of the A.No.1579 of 2024, the death of the 4th respondent has not been recorded, since the Legal heirs of the deceased 4th respondent viz. Sita Devi Gupta are already on record as respondents 6, 8, 9 and 10, the death of the 4th respondent has to be reflected in the cause title of the order passed in A.No.1579 of 2024 dated 18.09.2025.



Therefore, after the name of the 4th respondent (died) has to be included in the cause title of the order passed in A.No.1579 of 2024 dated 18.09.2025.

WEB COPY

2.Further, it is brought to the notice of this Court, that in paragraph No.6 of the order passed in the application in A.No.1579 of 2024, the last line has to be corrected as the respondents 1 to 3, 5, 6, 8 to 10 / defendants 2 to 4, 6, 7, 9 to 11.

3. Other side has no objection in correcting the same in the order passed in A.No.1579 of 2024 dated 18.09.2025.

4.According to the respondents, the 4th respondent viz. Sita Devi Gupta, during her life time itself, had executed a settlement deed in respect of her share in favour of the 6th respondent. Since, this Court had already passed the final decree based on the preliminary decree, now this application is filed only to correct the typographical error that has crept in the order passed in A.No.1579 of 2024 dated 18.09.2025. Therefore, the said typographical error alone has to be corrected.

5.This application stands allowed accordingly.



6. Registry is directed to incorporate necessary corrections in the order passed in A.No.1579 of 2024 dated 18.09.2025, and issue a fresh order copy to the parties.

19-01-2026

kas



WEB COPY

A No. 170 of .



P.DHANABAL, J.

kas

A.No.170 of 2026
and C.S.No.57 of 2019

19-01-2026