



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 34357 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19-01-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 34357 of 2025

Suganya

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Arcot Town Police Station,
Ranipet District.
(Crime No.277 of 2025)

..Respondent(s)

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, pleaded to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest in Crime No.277 of 2025 pending on the file of the respondent and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s):	Mr.P.Muthamizh Selvakumar
For Respondent(s):	M/s.J.R.Archana Government Advocate (Criminal Side)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Section 61 (2), 316 (5), 318 (4), 49 of BNS Act, 2023 in Crime No.277 of 2025, on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The prosecution case is that the petitioner herein is ranked as A4 in this case. The allegation in this case is that the petitioner joined hands with other branch officers involved in pledging spurious gold and granted loan worth about Rs.37,30,900/- and misappropriated the same. Hence, the complaint has been registered.



3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that originally the Head office of the private company has lodged a complaint including all the transactions taken place in the Arcot Town Branch, as well as in the Ranipet Branch and it was investigated by the District Crime Branch. The petitioner herein was also arrested on 15.06.2025 and she was released on mandatory bail. The present case is also registered on 06.06.2025, wherein she was also shown as A4. However, the respondent has not chosen to arrest the petitioner in the present case. He further submitted that the transactions alleged to have been taken place in this case is also covered by the complaint lodged by the Head Office and being investigated by the District Crime Branch, Ranipet. Hence, once again the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary and prays to grant anticipatory bail in this case.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner is also one of the main accused in this case and she has also actively participated in sending the fake jewels for the purpose of availing loan. She further submitted that in this case A1 alone was arrested and remaining persons have yet to be arrested and investigation in this case is pending. Hence, opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. I have gone through the submissions and also perused the material records.

WEB COPY

6. Admittedly, the petitioner herein is ranked as A4 in the First Information Report. She has not been arrested in this case instead she was arrested in the other case registered by the District Crime Branch, Ranipet and she was released on bail.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and since, the petitioner has already released on bail with regard to the similar nature of offence, I am of the view that further sending her to the custody for the purpose of investigating in this case is not necessary and hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the **learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Arcot**, on condition that **the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only)**, with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition:



(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) The petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation; petitioner shall co-operate for the investigation and shall come forward to give statements, if any clarifications required by the respondent for the purpose of investigation in this case.

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(e) If the petitioner thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

19-01-2026

ep



To

1. The District Munsif Cum Judicial Magistrate
Court, Arcot.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Arcot Town Police Station,
Ranipet District.

3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 34357 of 2



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

ep

CRL OP No. 34357 of 2025

19-01-2026