



WEB COPY

Cont.P.No.481 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 20.02.2026

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.VINOD KUMAR

Cont.P.No.481 of 2026

The Danish Mission Higher Secondary School,
Rep. By its Correspondent,
D.Peter Paul Thomas,
S/o. Devasagayam,
Thiruvannamalai,
Thiruvannamalai District.

... Petitioner

vs

1. Thiru. Muniraj,
The Chief Educational Officer,
Thiruvannamalai,
Thiruvannamalai District.

2. Thiru.Jothilakshmi
The District Educational Officer,
Thiruvannamalai,
Thiruvannamalai District.

... Respondents

Prayer: Contempt Petition is filed under Section 11 of Contempt of Courts Act, to punish the respondent for willfully disobeying the orders passed in W.M.P.No.32865 of 2023 in W.P.No.33170 of 2023 dated 24.11.2023 and to pass orders.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Nedunchezhiyan
for Mr.S.Sarath Chandran
For Respondents : Mrs.A.Bakkiyalakshmi
Government Advocate



ORDER

This Contempt Petition is filed alleging willful disobedience of the order of this Court dated 24.11.2023 in W.M.P.No.32865 of 2023 in W.P.No.33170 of 2023.

2. When the contempt petition is taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this Court had granted interim stay of the impugned proceedings of the respondents dated 02.11.2022.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner further submitted that the respondents in violation of the order of interim stay granted by this Court and are now taking up the staff fixation by the proceedings of the second respondent which action of the respondents clearly amounts to willful disobedience of the order of this Court.

4. I have taken note of the above contentions urged and perused the records. This Court by an order dated 24.11.2023 had granted interim stay till 04.01.2024.



WEB COPY

Cont.P.No.481 of 20



5. Admittedly, the petitioner did not take any steps for getting the aforesaid stay extended, atleast by filing a petition, even though the writ petition was not listed. Further, as the writ petition is pending, if the respondents are violating the order of this Court or taking any action thereby making the writ petition infructuous, the petitioner ought to have filed a miscellaneous petition in the pending writ petition for obtaining further orders in the matter.

6. The petitioner did not take any of the aforesaid steps as noted hereinabove.

7. In view of the above, granting liberty to the petitioner to move necessary application in pending writ petition, this Court is of the view that the contempt petition cannot be proceeded with.

8. Accordingly, this Contempt Petition is closed.

20.02.2026

dh

3/5



To

1. Thiru. Muniraj,
The Chief Educational Officer,
Thiruvannamalai,
Thiruvannamalai District.

2. Thiru.Jothilakshmi
The District Educational Officer,
Thiruvannamalai,
Thiruvannamalai District.



WEB COPY

Cont.P.No.481 of 2026



T. VINOD KUMAR, J.

dh

Cont.P.No.481 of 2026

20.02.2026