



WEB COPY



O.A.Nos.1151 and 1152 of 2025

in C.S(Comm.Div)No.318 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 04.02.2026

CORAM:

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SENTHILKUMAR
RAMAMOORTHY**

O.A.Nos.1151 and 1152 of 2025

in C.S(Comm.Div)No.318 of 2025

Spalon India Private Limited
represented by its Authorized Signatory
Ms.Sneh Asit Koticha
2nd Floor, Saba House,
No.209/A, St. Mary's Road,
Alwarpet, Chennai – 600 018.

... Applicant in
both applications

vs.

The Bounce Salon
represented by its Partners
Mr.Wasim and Ms.Indu Sharma
Manji Ka Hatha, Near Bank of Baroda,
Bhadwasiya, Paota, Jodhpur,
Rajasthan – 342 006.

... Respondent in
both applications

For Applicant : Mr.M.S.Bharath
in both applications

COMMON ORDER

At the hearing on 05.01.2026, it was recorded that private notice had been served on the respondent on 15.12.2025 as evidenced by the tracking report. The Registry was consequently



O.A.Nos.1151 and 1152 of 2025

in C.S(Comm.Div)No.318 of 2025

directed to print the name of the respondent in the cause list. The

name of the respondent is printed in the cause list for today's hearing. The respondent continues to remain unrepresented.

2. At the hearing on 10.12.2025, after examining the documents, it was recorded as under:

'2. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that the plaintiff is the registered proprietor of word and device marks containing the prominent feature BOUNCE, which is used in relation to salons. Upon noticing that the defendant has adopted a deceptively similar mark in relation to identical services, he submits that cease and desist notice dated 10.09.2025 was issued and that such notice was delivered. He points out that no reply was received to such notice. By referring to the investigation report dated 08.08.2025, he points out that the defendant has been using the rival mark for about one year.

3. Considering the similarity of the rival marks, the prior use by the plaintiff for a considerable period and the fact that the defendant's mark is used in relation to an identical business, a strong prima facie case is made out. Therefore, orders of ad interim injunction as prayed for are granted in O.A.Nos.1151 & 1152 of 2025 until the next date of hearing. These orders will take effect one week from the date of



WEB COPY



O.A.Nos.1151 and 1152 of 2025

in C.S(Comm.Div)No.318 of 2025

*receipt of a copy of this order so as to enable the
defendant to transition smoothly.'*

3. Considering the above and taking note of the non-appearance of the respondent, the orders of ad interim injunction granted earlier in O.A.Nos.1151 and 1152 of 2025 are made absolute and the said applications are disposed of.

04.02.2026

mmi



WEB COPY



*O.A.Nos.1151 and 1152 of 2025
in C.S(Comm.Div)No.318 of 2025*

SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY,J.

mmi

O.A.Nos.1151 and 1152 of 2025
in C.S(Comm.Div)No.318 of 2025

04.02.2026