



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 34893 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 28-01-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP No. 34893 of 2025

Selvaraja

..Petitioner

Vs

1. The State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
W-35, AWPS Koyambedu, Chennai.
(Cr.No.17 of 2025)

2. Vaishali

..Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to call for records and quash the FIR in Crime
No.17 of 2025, dated 19.09.2025 on the file of Inspector of Police, W-35
AWPS, Koyambedu, Chennai.

For Petitioner : Ms.K.Keerthana

For Respondents : Mr.M.M.D.Muhilan,
Additional Public Prosecutor For R1

Ms. Vaishali
Party-in-person



ORDER

WEB COPY

The present Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.17 of 2025, pending against the petitioner, on the file of the first respondent Police, on the basis of the compromise arrived at between the petitioner and the *de facto* complainant/second respondent.

2. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

3. Based on the complaint given by the *de facto* complainant/R2, a case in Crime No. 17 of 2025 was registered on the file of the first respondent Police against the petitioner, for the offences under under Sections 316(2), 318(2), 82(2), 315(2) and 115(2) of BNS, 2023 and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, 2002.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as for the *de facto* complainant submitted that on the advice of elders, the parties have now amicably settled the issue among themselves. Hence, they seek to quash the First Information Report as against the petitioner. Affidavits and Joint Memo of Compromise to that effect have also been filed.




5. The *de facto* complainant/R2, as party-in-person, and the petitioner appeared before this Court and he was identified by his counsel as well as by Ms.M.Sangeetha, WGr.I PC 40735, W-35, All Women Police Station, Koyambedu, Chennai.

6. On being enquired by this Court, the *de facto* complainant stated that she has amicably settled the dispute with the petitioner and she is not willing to pursue the criminal proceedings and therefore, seeks to quash the same.

7. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the first respondent submitted that though the parties have entered into a compromise while this case is pending, this Court, taking into account the seriousness of the offence, has to consider the issue as to whether an offence of this nature can be quashed on the ground of compromise between parties.

8. The main issue that requires the consideration of this Court is as to whether this Court can quash the criminal proceedings involving non-compoundable offences pending against the petitioner. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of ***Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujarat***, reported in **(2017) 9 SCC 641**, has given sufficient guidelines that must be taken into consideration by this Court while exercising its jurisdiction under Section



482 of Cr.P.C. (corresponding to Section 528 BNSS), to quash non-compoundable offences. One very important test that has been laid down is that the Court must necessarily examine if the crime in question is purely individual in nature or a crime against the society with overriding public interest. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that offences against the society with overriding public interest even if they get settled between the parties, cannot be quashed by this Court.

9. In the present case, the offences in question are purely individual/personal in nature. It involves dispute between the petitioner and the second respondent and quashing the proceedings will not affect any overriding public interest in this case and no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the criminal proceedings. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.17 of 2025 pending on the file of the first respondent police, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C./Section 528 BNSS.

10. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of and the First Information Report in Crime No.17 of 2025 pending on the file of the first respondent police is quashed as against the petitioner, on condition that the petitioner pays a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) as costs to the Tamil Nadu State Legal Services Authority (TNSLSA), High Court



Campus, Chennai 600 104, within a period of two (2) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

WEB COPY

11. The affidavits and the Joint Memo of Compromise filed by the petitioner and the second respondent for compromising the offences shall form part of the records.

28-01-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

SRM

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
W-35, AWPS Koyambedu,
Chennai.
2. The Member Secretary,
The Tamil Nadu State Legal Services Authority (TNSLSA),
High Court Campus, Chennai.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 34893 of 2



A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

SRM

CRL OP No. 34893 of 2025

28-01-2026