



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-02-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE SUNDER MOHAN

CRL RC No. 2620 of 2025

AND

**CRL MP NO. 22956 OF 2025, CRL MP NO. 22958 OF 2025,
CRL RC NO. 2621 OF 2025**

1. Chandresh Soni
Director, M/s.Raninga Paper Mills Pvt
Ltd S.No.853, 854, Jaakwada Shiya
Road, Tal. Viramgam District,
Ahmedabad, Gujarat - 382 170.

2. Lalit Bhai Kanji Bhai Soni
Director, M/s.Raninga Paper Mills Pvt
Ltd S.No.853,854, Jaakwada Shiya
Rod, Tal. Viramgam District,
Ahmedabad, Gujarat - 382 170.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

Mithran Pulp Paper Board Industries
Represented by its, Proprietor
Mr.D.Venkatesh, S/o.Mr.Durairaj, aged
about 47 years, Plot No.1, 38th Street,
Sakthivel Nagar, 2nd Main Road,
Puzhal, Chennai - 600 066.

Respondent(s)

CRL RC No. 2621 of 2025

1. Chandresh Soni
Director, M/s.Raninga Paper Mills Pvt
Ltd, S.No.853, 854, Jaakwada Shiya
Road, Tal. Viramgam District,
Ahmedabad, Gujarat - 382170.



2.Lalit Bhai Kanji Bhai Soni
Director, M/s.Raninga Paper Mills
Pvt.Ltd, S.No.853,854, Jaakwada Shiya
Road, Tal. Viramgam District,
Ahmedabad, Gujarat - 382 170.

Petitioner(s)

Vs

Mithran Pulp Paper Board Industries,
Represented by its, Proprietor
Mr.D.Venkatesh, S/o.Mr.Durairaj, Aged
about 47 years, Plot No.1, 38th Street,
Sakthivel Nagar, 2nd Main Road,
Puzhal, Chennai - 600 066.

Respondent(s)

CRL RC No. 2620 of 2025

PRAYER Criminal Revision Case filed under Section 438 of BNSS, praying to set aside the order passed by the Ld.Judicial Magistrate-II at Ponneri dated 20.11.2025 passed in CRL.MP.No.1268/2025 in STC.NO.6 OF 2025 by allowing this Petition and thus render justice.

CRL RC No. 2621 of 2025

PRAYER Criminal Revision Case filed under Section 438 of BNSS, praying to To set aside the order passed by the Ld. Judicial Magistrate-II, at Ponneri dated 20.11.2025 passed in CRL.MP.No.1270/2025 in STC.No.7 OF 2025 by allowing this Petition and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.K.P.Anantha Krishna

For Respondent(s): Mr.V.C.Vinoth Kumar

COMMON ORDER

These revisions challenge the dismissal of the petitions filed to recall the warrants in the absence of the petitioners.



2. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the first petitioner is aged about 40 years and the second petitioner is aged about 65 years; that the proceedings before the Trial Court have been stayed by this Court in the quash petition filed by A3 in Crl.O.P.No.30188 of 2025, and therefore, the learned Magistrate ought to have accepted the petitions for recall of the warrants in the absence of the petitioners.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the respondents and perused the materials available on record.

4. It is seen from the impugned order that the petitioners were absent on 08.07.2025 and an NBW was issued on that day. They had filed a petition for recall of the warrant in their absence on 13.11.2025. The learned Magistrate had directed their personal appearance on 20.11.2025. It appears that this Court had granted stay of the proceedings in a quash petition filed by the co-accused by order dated 06.11.2025 in Crl.OP.No.30188 of 2025. However, considering that the warrants were issued on 08.07.2025 much before the interim order granting stay was passed by this Court, this Court is of the view that there is no infirmity in the impugned order directing the appearance of the petitioners for considering their recall warrant petition. Therefore, the petitioners may appear



in person before the learned Magistrate and seek recall of warrant, which may be favourably considered by the learned Magistrate.

WEB COPY

5. With the above observations, these criminal revision cases stand disposed of. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

12-02-2026

cda/ars



WEB COPY

CRL RC Nos. 2620 & 2621 of 2



SUNDER MOHAN J.

cda/ars

**CRL RC No. 2620 of 2025
AND CRL MP NO. 22956 OF 2025,
CRL MP NO. 22958 OF 2025,
CRL RC NO. 2621 OF 2025**

12-02-2026