



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 33629 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 07-01-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CRL OP No. 33629 of 2025

1. V.Praveenkumar
2. P.Venkatesan
3. Kumari Venkatesan

..Petitioners

Vs

1. The State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
W8 All Women Police Station,
Thirumangalam,
Chennai-600 101.

2. G.Soundarya

..Respondents

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to call for the entire records pertaining to the FIR in Cr.No.34 of 2025, dated 21.07.2025 registered by the 1st respondent for the offences under Sections.85, 117(2) and 308(2) of the BNS and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 and quash the same.

For Petitioners : Mr.N.Baaskaran

For Respondents : Mr.K.M.D.Muhilan,
Additional Public Prosecutor for R-1
Mr.Jawahar for R-2



ORDER

WEB COPY

The present Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.34 of 2025, pending against the petitioners, on the file of the first respondent Police, on the basis of the compromise arrived at between the petitioners and the *de facto* complainant/second respondent.

2. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

3. Based on the complaint given by the *de facto* complainant/R2, the aforesaid case in Crime No. 34 of 2025 was registered on the file of the first respondent Police against the petitioners, for the offences under under Sections 85, 117(2) and 308(2) of the BNS and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the *de facto* complainant submitted that on the advice of elders, the parties have now amicably settled the issue among themselves. Hence, they seek to quash the First Information Report as against the petitioners. Affidavits and Joint Memo of Compromise to that effect have also been filed.




5. The petitioners and the *de facto* complainant/R2 appeared before this Court and they were identified by their respective counsel as well as by Ms.S.Rajeshwari, WPC 5824, W-8, All Women Police Station, Thirumangalam, Chennai.

6. On being enquired by this Court, the *de facto* complainant stated that she has amicably settled the dispute with the petitioners and she is not willing to pursue the criminal proceedings and therefore, seeks to quash the same.

7. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the first respondent submitted that though the parties have entered into a compromise while this case is pending, this Court, taking into account the seriousness of the offences, has to consider the issue as to whether offences of this nature can be quashed on the ground of compromise between parties.

8. The main issue that requires the consideration of this Court is as to whether this Court can quash the criminal proceedings involving non-compoundable offences pending against the petitioners. The Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the case of *Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujarat*, reported in (2017) 9 SCC 641, has given sufficient guidelines that must be taken into consideration by this Court while exercising its jurisdiction under Section



482 of Cr.P.C. (corresponding to Section 528 BNSS), to quash non-compoundable offences. One very important test that has been laid down is that the Court must necessarily examine if the crime in question is purely individual in nature or a crime against the society with overriding public interest. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that offences against the society with overriding public interest even if they get settled between the parties, cannot be quashed by this Court.

9. In the present case, the offences in question are purely individual/personal in nature. It involves dispute between the petitioners and the second respondent and quashing the proceedings will not affect any overriding public interest in this case and no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the criminal proceedings. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to quash the First Information Report in Crime No.34 of 2025 pending on the file of the first respondent police, in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C./Section 528 BNSS.

10. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of and the First Information Report in Crime No.34 of 2025 pending on the file of the first respondent police is quashed as against the petitioners, on condition that the petitioners jointly pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) as costs to the Tamil Nadu State Legal Services Authority (TNSLSA),



High Court Campus, Chennai 600 104, within a period of two (2) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

WEB COPY

11. The affidavits and the Joint Memo of Compromise filed by the petitioners and the second respondent for compromising the offences shall form part of the records.

07-01-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

SRM

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
W-8 All Women Police Station,
Thirumangalam,
Chennai-600 101.
2. The Member Secretary,
The Tamil Nadu State Legal Services Authority (TNSLSA),
High Court Campus, Chennai.
3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 33629 of 2



A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

SRM

CRL OP No. 33629 of 2025

07-01-2026