



WEB COPY

CRP No. 6204 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-04-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE T.V.THAMILSELVI

CRP No. 6204 of 2025

AND

CMP NO. 30594 OF 2025

1.Murugan
S/O. Samikannu,
No.549, Thillai Nagar,
Naarthampoondi Village,
Tiruvannamalai District.

2.Jayalakshmi
W/o.Murugan,
No.549, Thillai Nagar,
Naarthampoondi Village,
Tiruvannamalai District.

3.Uthayakumar
S/o.Murugan,
No.549, Thillai Nagar, Naarthampoondi Village,
Tiruvannamalai District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

Sakthi
S/O. Ramasamy,
No.335, Keezhatthur Village,
Thennagaram Post, Tiruvannamalai District.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER

Civil Revision Petition filed under Art. 227 of Constitution of India, praying to set aside the order passed in IA.No.1 of 2025 in GWOP.No.93 of 2025 by the learned Principal District Judge, Tiruvannamalai order dated 10.10.2025.



For Petitioner(s): Mr.R.Thirumoorthy

WEB COPY For Respondent(s): Mr.S.Mohan Raj

ORDER

Challenging the impugned order passed in I.A.No.1 of 2025 in G.W.O.P.No.93 of 2025 by the learned Principal District Judge, Tiruvannamalai, the Revision Petitioners/respondents have preferred this Civil Revision Petition.

2. Today when the matter was taken up for hearing, the learned counsel for Revision Petitioners would submit that the child is under the tender age of 3 years and if the child is handed over to him before the Taluk Legal Service Committee at Kalasapakkam, it will lead to other complications. Hence, they have raised objections to see the child by the respondent and prayed to modify the order passed by the trial court. But, the learned counsel for respondent appeared and submitted that as a father, he is entitled to see the child and he wanted to see the child. Therefore, he prayed to permit him to see the child.

Considering both side submissions and also considering the fact that as a father, he is entitled to see the child, this Court is inclined to modify the order of trial court that instead of bringing the child to Legal Services Authority, the respondent is directed to see the child at the house of revision petitioners whenever he required and when he visit the child, the revision petitioners are directed not to make any annoyance to the respondent, who is father of child.



Furthermore, since the child is under the custody of deceased mother's parents, as a father, he is directed to pay Rs.5000/- as maintenance until modification by any court of law. Accordingly, this Civil Revision Petition is disposed of. No costs. Consequently, connected civil miscellaneous petition is closed.

17-04-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

RPP

To

The Principal District Judge, Tiruvannamalai.



WEB COPY

CRP No. 6204 of 2



T.V.THAMILSELVI J.

RPP

**CRP No. 6204 of 2025
AND
CMP NO. 30594 OF 2025**

17-04-2026