



WEB COPY



C.M.P.Nos.31660, 31657 and 31653 of 2025
in A.S.No.37 of 2013
&A.S.No.172 of 2013

C.M.P.Nos.31660, 31657 and 31653 of 2025
in
A.S.No.37 of 2013
&A.S.No.172 of 2013

C.V.KARTHIKEYAN, J.,
AND
K.RAJASEKAR.J.,

(Order of the Court was made by C.V.KARTHIKEYAN, J.,)

These three petitions have been filed consequent to the death of first respondent in A.S.No. 37 of 2013 viz., CT.S.Chidambaram, who is the second respondent in A.S.No.172 of 2013.

2.Notice had been directed in the Civil Miscellaneous Petitions. It is contended that notices have been served, but, however, we would not hold up the petitions any further but allow these petitions and direct fresh notice for the newly impleaded parties in the first appeal.

3. The suit had been filed seeking specific performance of a sale agreement. Appellant in A.S.No.37 of 2013 is the plaintiff in the suit.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the respondent also.

5. In view of all these reasons, all the three petitions are allowed.

6. Registry is directed to carry out necessary and consequential amendments in the cause title in A.S.No.37 of 2013 reflecting the death of the first respondent CT.S.Chidambaram and bringing on record the legal representatives of the first respondent.



WEB COPY



C.M.P.Nos.31660, 31657 and 31653 of 2025
in A.S.No.37 of 2013
&A.S.No.172 of 2013

C.V.KARTHIKEYAN, J.,
AND
K.RAJASEKAR.J.,

gpa

7. Fresh notice to the respondents in the appeal suit in A.S.No.37 of 2013 returnable by 27.04.2026.

Steps to be taken for the deceased second respondent in A.S.No.172 of 2013.

[C.V.K.,J.] [K.R.S.,J.]

30.03.2026

gpa

C.M.P.Nos.31660, 31657 and 31653 of 2025
in
A.S.No.37 of 2013
&A.S.No.172 of 2013