



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29-06-2026

WEB COPY

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.K. ILANTHIRAIYAN

WP Crl. No. 1466 of 2025


Sri.Ammasai

..Petitioner

Vs

1. The Govt. of Tamil Nadu
Chief Minister Cell,
Secretariat,
Fort St.George,
Chennai - 600 009.
2. The District Collector
Erode District,
Erode.
3. The Inspector General of Police
West Zone,
Race Course Road,
Thomas Park,
Coimbatore- 641 018.
4. The Superintendent of Police
Erode
5. The Deputy Superintendent of Police
Bhavani, Erode District.
6. The Inspector of Police
Bhavani,
Erode District.
7. A.Thangavel
8. A.Raju

..Respondents



Prayer : Writ Petition (Criminal) filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondents 2 to 6 to consider the petitioner representation dated 12.06.2025 and thereby protect his interest by allowing him to cultivate his land without any hindrance from the 7th and 8th respondents.

For Petitioner: Mr.B.Raveendran

For Respondents: Mr.R.Ganesh Kumar
Counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu
(Criminal Side) for R1 to R6

Mrs.S.Karpagapriya for R7 & R8

ORDER

This Writ Petition (Criminal) has been filed seeking a direction to the respondents 2 to 6 to consider the petitioner's representation dated 12.06.2025.

2. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials available on record.

3. The case of the petitioner is that he owned the properties comprised in RS.No.413, measuring an extent of 0.32 ½ cents and RS.389, measuring an extent of 237 ½ cents, situated at Kesarimangalam Village, Sanniyasipatti Post, Bhavani Taluk, Erode District. According to the petitioner, the respondents 7 and 8 are interfering with the petitioner's peaceful possession and enjoyment of the property. It is further alleged that the petitioner has been prevented from entering into the property by the respondents 7 and 8. Therefore, the petitioner



submitted a representation seeking appropriate police protection. However, no action has been taken. Hence, the present writ petition.

WEB COPY

4. On instructions, the learned counsel for Government of Tamil Nadu (Criminal Side), appearing for the respondents 1 to 6 submitted that, on the very same allegations, the petitioner had earlier lodged a complaint, which was duly enquired and subsequently, closed. Thereafter, the petitioner has once again submitted another complaint based on the very same set of allegations. Even according to the petitioner, the respondents 7 and 8 are interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the subject property.

5. Therefore, the petitioner ought to have approached the Civil Court for appropriate relief as against the respondents 7 and 8. However, if any cognizable offence made against the respondents 7 and 8 in the complaint lodged by the petitioner, the third respondent is directed to proceed with the complaint in accordance with law.


6. With the above observations and direction, this Writ Petition (Criminal) stands disposed of. No costs.

29-06-2026

Index: Yes/No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

LPP



To

1. The Govt. of Tamil Nadu
Chief Minister Cell,
Secretariat,
Fort St.George,
Chennai - 600 009.

2.The District Collector
Erode District,
Erode.

3.The Inspector General of Police
West Zone,
Race Course Road,
Thomas Park,
Coimbatore- 641 018.

4.The Superintendent of Police
Erode

5.The Deputy Superintendent of Police
Bhavani, Erode District.

6.The Inspector of Police
Bhavani,
Erode District.

7.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

WP CrI. No. 1466 of 2



G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN J.

LPP

WP CrI. No. 1466 of 2025

29-06-2026