WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 25-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 34742 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012838062026}

Case QR

R.Saravanan
S/o.Ramachandran,
No.9/4, Karunakaran Street,
Raja Naicker Garden,
Saidapet,
Chennai-600015.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State rep by the Inspector of Police
R4 Soundarapandiyanar Angadi Police Station,
Chennai District.
Crime No.383 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.383 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police and pass such further or other orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case

For Petitioner(s): Mr.B.Devakumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.M.M.I. Khaleel
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.11.2026 for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 296(b), 126(2), 115(2), 351(2) of BNS R/w Section 3(1) of TNPDDL Act, in Crime No. 383 of

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, due to wordy quarrel, the petitioner along with other accused consumed liquor worth Rs.4,420/- and on demand of payment, a wordy quarrel arose, during which the accused damaged the bar mirror worth about Rs.15,000/-. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case, and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court, and hence, prayed for the grant of bail.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner is arrayed as A3. He further submitted that the petitioner has two previous cases pending against him. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

6. It is a case of brawl at a hotel. The petitioner is the third accused, out of three accused. According to the prosecution, on 14.11.2026, the petitioner and two others drank at the bar of a hotel at T.Nagar for Rs.4,420/-. They did not pay, quarreled with the bar incharge/ defacto complainant and assaulted him, and damaged the glass door worth about Rs.15,000/-. The petitioner has been in judicial custody since 15.11.2026. The petitioner is having two previous cases pending against him under Section 75 of City Police Act. There was no injury to any other person. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and the period of incarceration already undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned XVII Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Saidapet,** and on further conditions that:

> [a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;
>
> **[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent**

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of 15 days and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

25-11-2026

DRL

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1.The XVII Metropolitan Magistrate Court,
Saidapet.

2. The Inspector of Police
R4 Soundarapandiyanar Angadi Police Station,
Chennai District.

3.The Superintendent,
Sub Jail, Saidapet.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 34742 of 2026

25-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis