



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 33606 of 2



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS**

**DATED: 17-04-2026**

**CORAM**

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M. NIRMAL KUMAR**

Crl. O.P. No. 33606 of 2025

1. Mohamed Hassan Meeran
2. Meeran Niyas
3. Marheema Hassan Fathima

..Petitioners

**Vs**

1. The State Rep. by  
The Inspector of Police  
W7, Anna Nagar,  
All Women Police Station,  
Chennai.  
(Crime No. 32/2024).

2. Fathima Al Shahidah

..Respondents

**Prayer:** Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS to call for the records in C.C.No.13 of 2025 pending on the file of the learned Additional Mahila Court, Egmore, Chennai and quash the charge sheet.

For Petitioners:

Mr. K. Amajith Khan

For Respondents:

Mr. R. Vinothraja  
Government Advocate (Crl.Side) for R1



## **ORDER**

WEB COPY

The petitioners who are accused in C.C.No.13 of 2025 facing trial for offences under Sections 85 and 61(2) of the BNS before the Additional Mahila, Egmore, Chennai, has filed this Criminal Original Petition.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant married the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner, and at the time of marriage, sufficient dowry was given. After the marriage, the petitioners allegedly demanded additional dowry and subjected the complainant to cruelty and harassment. Due to such ill-treatment, a complaint given by the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent, on the basis of which the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent police registered an FIR in Crime No.32 of 2024 for offences under Sections 85 and 61(2) of the BNS. On completion of the investigation, the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent Police filed a charge sheet before the trial Court, listing 6 witnesses and the relevant documents.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the dispute between the petitioners and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent is purely matrimonial in nature. It is further submitted that the parties have amicably resolved their differences and that the marriage between the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent has been dissolved by mutual consent on 26.04.2025. Hence, he prayed for quashing of the proceedings.



4. The case is at the stage of trial. By passage of time, the parties have decided to bury their hatchet and compromise the dispute amicably among themselves.

5. Today the petitioners and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent appeared before this Court and their identity is confirmed by Ms. E. Valarmathi, SSI attached to the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent Police Station. This Court also enquired both the parties and was satisfied that the parties have come to an amicable settlement between themselves. The petitioners and the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent have filed separate affidavits before this Court and have also filed a Joint Compromise Memo.

6. Under such circumstances, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the First Information Report pending, even though, the offences involved are not compoundable in nature. In the light of the guidelines given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court reported in **2017 9 SCC 641-(Parbathbhai Aahir @ Parbathbhai Vs. State of Gujrath)**, and after exercising due caution as advised by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **The State of Madhya Pradesh v. Dhruv Gurjar and Another** reported in **(2019) 2 MLJ CrI 10**, this Court in exercise of its jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C., is inclined to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.13 of 2025 on the file of the Additional Mahila, Egmore, Chennai.



7. This Criminal Original Petition stands allowed and as a sequel, the proceedings in C.C.No.13 of 2025 on the file of the Additional Mahila, Egmore, Chennai is quashed against the petitioners.

**17-04-2026**

Neutral Citation: Yes/No  
AT

To

1.The Additional Mahila Court,  
Egmore, Chennai

2.The Inspector of Police  
W7, Anna Nagar Police Station,  
All Women Police Station,  
Chennai.

3.The Public Prosecutor  
High Court of Madras



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 33606 of 2



**M.NIRMAL KUMAR, J.**

AT

Crl. O.P. No. 33606 of 2025

17-04-2026