



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S. M. SUBRAMANIAM

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CONT P No. 254 of 2026

1. Irosa
2. P.Prabhakaran

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. P.Amudha, IAS
The Secretary to Government,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Revenue Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai - 9
2. P.Amudha, IAS
The Principal Secretary and Commissioner of
Revenue Administration,
Chepauk,
Chennai.
3. V.Saravanan, IAS
The District Collector,
Tiruchirapalli District,
Trichy.

..Contemnor(s)



Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act to punish the Respondents for non-compliance of the order passed in WA.No.1877 of 2021 dated 06.09.2021.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.S.Madhu Balaaji
For Contemnor(s): Dr.S.Suriya
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by S.M.Subramaniam J.)

This Court passed an order to consider the claim of the petitioner for compassionate appointment.

2. Now the competent authority passed an order stating that the 1st application seeking compassionate appointment was not pursued, and the 2nd application by another legal heir was not submitted within a period of one year, but submitted after a lapse of about 18 years. Authorities found discrepancies in the legal heirship certificate and further found that deceased employee had two wives. On account of these discrepancies, authorities have rejected the application seeking compassionate appointment. However, this Court has directed the authorities to verify all these facts while considering the applications, which has been done.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner made a submission that certain observations were made in the order passed by this Court.



4. However, such observations are only guiding observations for the authorities to determine the issues in the right perspective, and more specifically under the terms and conditions of the scheme of compassionate appointment. Once the authorities found that the person is not eligible for compassionate appointment, petitioner cannot rely on such observation for the purpose for securing appointment in a contempt proceeding.

5. Thus, respondents have not committed any disobedience warranting contempt proceeding. Consequently, the contempt petition stands closed. No costs.

(S.M.S.,J.) (C.K.,J.)
12-02-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

GD

To

1. P.Amudha, IAS
The Secretary to Government,
Government of Tamil Nadu,
Revenue Department,
Secertariat,
Chennai - 9
2. P.Amudha, IAS
The Principal Secretary and Commissioner of
Revenue Administration,
Chepauk,
Chennai.
3. V.Saravanan, IAS
The District Collector,
Tiruchirapalli District,
Trichy.



WEB COPY

CONT P No. 254 of 2



**S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.
AND
C.KUMARAPPAN, J.**

GD

CONT P No. 254 of 2026

12-02-2026