WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 34249 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012798422026}

P.Sanjiv
S/o Thayappa,
No.1, Ramtheeswadi Village,
Balik Taluk Bidar Jilla,
Karnataka.

Case QR

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Represented by The Inspector of Police
W-35 All Women's Koyembedu Police Station,
Koyembedu, Chennai.
Crime No.25 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioners/accused herein on bail in Crime No.25 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent/Complaint i.e on the file of The Inspector of Police, W-35 All Womens Koyembedu Police Station Koyembedu Chennai.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.S.Mohan Raj

For Respondent(s): Mr.M.M.I. Khaleel
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.09.2026 for the alleged offence under Sections 11(1) and 12 of POCSO Act, 2012 in Crime No.25 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2.The allegation against the petitioner is that he exposed his private parts to the victim, aged four years. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case and that he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the Petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the victim is aged 4 years, whereas the petitioner is aged 51 years. He also produced the statement of the victim recorded under Section 183 of BNSS. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

6. It is a case of sexual harassment of the child. According to the prosecution, the petitioner opened his dhoti and exposed himself to the four years old victim girl at the Koyambedu bus stand where the petitioner and the defacto complainant were staying. The petitioner was arrested on 02.09.2026 and has been in judicial custody since then. The investigation has been

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

completed and the charge sheet has been filed. The petitioner hails from the State of Karnataka. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, statement of the victim child and the period of incarceration already undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties each (One surety must be a blood related surety)** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Chennai – 600 106,** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the Trial Court on all hearing dates without fail;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions,

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

23-11-2026

DRL

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1. The Special Court for Exclusive Trial of Cases under POCSO Act, Chennai – 600 106.

2. The Inspector of Police
W-35 All Womens Koyembedu Police Station,
Koyembedu, Chennai.

3.The Superintendent, Puzhal Prison Jail,
Chennai.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 34249 of 2026

23-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis