



W.A.No.3605 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 05.01.2026

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA,
CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

W.A.No.3605 of 2025
and C.M.P.No.29727 of 2025

Wire and Wire Products
Rep. by its Manager, T.R.Kalyanaraman,
22 (Old No.21-J2), SIDCO Industrial Estate,
Ambattur, Chennai – 600 098.

Appellant

Vs

The Tamil Nadu Small Industries
Development Corporation,
Rep. by its Managing Director,
Thiru Vi Ka Industrial Estate,
Near SIDCO Electronics Complex,
Guindy, Chennai-600 032.

Respondent

PRAYER: Appeal filed under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent to set aside the order passed by the learned Single Judge in W.P.No.14210 of 2025, dated 20.08.2025.



WEB COPY



W.A.No.3605 of 2025

For Appellant(s): Mr.V.Jaishankar

JUDGMENT

(Delivered by the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

Challenge to the order passed by the learned Single Judge is based on the submission that the learned Single Judge recorded an erroneous finding that the appellant violated the terms and conditions of Clauses (6) and (7) of the allotment order.

He would submit that, in the petition, it was categorically averred that due to delay on the part of the statutory authorities in processing the appellant's application for building permission, it was unable to generate finance to start construction of the factory.

2. Clause (6) of the allotment order, as interpreted by the learned Single Judge, to our mind, does not warrant any interference because the learned Single Judge, proceeding on admitted factual premise of the case, has recorded a finding that the construction was required to be commenced within a period of



W.A.No.3605 of 2025

six months from the date of taking possession of the plot, and, as it goes without saying, after obtaining necessary NOCs, permits, etc.

3. During the course of argument, learned counsel for the appellant admits that all statutory permits, permissions, NOCs were granted up to 31.12.2009. Applying the terms of Clause (6) of the allotment order, the appellant was required to commence construction within six months and complete within 18 months. In the affidavit filed before the writ court, there is no averment in this regard, but the appellant has only expressed its own inability to arrange for finances, so as to commence and complete the construction within a stipulated period.

4. One of the basis to refuse grant of discretionary relief by the writ court is violation of Clause (6) of the allotment order. Once that finding does not warrant interference, in exercise of our appellate jurisdiction in an intra-court appeal, we are not required to look into other grounds.

The writ appeal is “sans-substratum” and is, accordingly,



W.A.No.3605 of 2025

dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs. Consequently,
interim application stands closed.

(MANINDRA MOHAN SHRIVASTAVA, CJ) (G.ARUL MURUGAN,J)
05.01.2026

Index : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
sasi

To:

The Managing Director,
Tamil Nadu Small Industries
Development Corporation,
Thiru Vi Ka Industrial Estate,
Near SIDCO Electronics Complex,
Guindy, Chennai-600 032.



WEB COPY



W.A.No.3605 of 2025

THE HON'BLE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
G.ARUL MURUGAN,J.

(sasi)

W.A.No.3605 of 2025

05.01.2026