WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 20.11.2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL.O.P.No.34110 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012783312026}

Thirumagan Marudhu Sena

..Petitioner

Vs

The State rep by the Inspector of Police,
D-5, Sundarapuram Police Station,
Coimbatore

..Respondent

PRAYER: To enlarge the petitioner on bail pending investigation in Cr.No.225 of 2026 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Soundar Vijay Arul Ram

For Respondent : Mr.M.M.I.Khaleel,
Government Advocate (Crl.side).

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.10.2026 for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 8(c) read with 20(b)(ii)(A) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, and Section 123 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, in Crime No.225 of 2026, on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Order QR

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was found in illegal possession of 200 grams of ganja. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the contraband allegedly seized from the petitioner is only 200 grams of ganja, which falls under the category of small quantity, and that the petitioner has been languishing in judicial custody since 22.10.2026. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the petitioner was found in possession of 200 grams of ganja, and the investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail.

5. Heard the learned counsel appearing on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegations, the fact that the contraband involved is only 200 grams of ganja which is a small quantity, and also taking note of the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner from 22.10.2026, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand**

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Order QR

only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Madhukarai, Coimbatore,** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure his identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10:30 A.M. until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

20.11.2026

kmm

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Order QR

N.RAMESH, J.

kmm

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
D-5, Sundarapuram Police Station,
Coimbatore

2. The Judicial Magistrate, Madhukarai, Coimbatore.

3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Coimbatore.

4. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court.

CRL.O.P.No.34110 of 2026

20.11.2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis