



WEB COPY



1

**A.No.719 of 2026
in
OP.DR.No.85023 of 2025**

**MASTER
17.02.2026**

ORDER

1. This application has been filed by the applicant to condone the delay of 121 days in representing the original petition in OP.DR.No.85023 of 2025.

2. The reason stated for the delay in representation is that, one of the return includes foreign adjudication of the power of attorney executed by the 3rd applicant in favor of the 1st applicant and that at the time for registration of the power of attorney executed by the 3rd petitioner in favour of the 1st petitioner got expired and the power of attorney was once again executed on 20.08.2025 and the same was received by the applicant only on 19.09.2025 and got adjudications registered as Document No.250 of 2025 at SRO, Mylapore on 17.10.2025. Hence, the same could not be represented in time and upon registering the document and compliance of defects it was re-presented immediately on 03.11.2025 in the process there occurred a delay of 121 days after.

3. Now the point to be decided is that whether the delay of 121 days can be condoned or not?

4. This court has come across an order of our Hon'ble Division Bench in OSA.No. 66 of 2023 to condone the delay of 267 days in representation in an application in which the Hon'ble Division Bench condoned the delay by deleting the cost imposed. The extracted portion is hereunder:



"It is seen that the delay has occurred only in respect of re-presenting the original petition filed against the award passed by the first respondent Arbitrator and not in filing the same. Admittedly, this Court has dealt with umpteen number of cases, extending some leniency for condoning the delay. Applying the same analogy and also considering the explanation offered by the appellant for the delay in re-presenting the petition papers, this court is inclined to modify the order of the learned Judge, by deleting the costs of Rs.25,000/- imposed on the appellant, while condoning the delay in re-presenting the petition."

5. This order of Hon'ble Division Bench was followed by the Hon'ble Single Judge in A.No.1219 of 2023 in Arb.OP.DR.No.9142 of 2022 and the same was allowed without cost. The portion extracted is hereunder:

"In view of the earlier order passed by the learned single Judge in Application No.1232 of 2023 dated 03.03.2023 which had been modified by the Division Bench of this Court in O.S.A.No. 66 of 2023 by judgment dated 29.03.2023, I am inclined to set aside the impugned order passed by the Learned Master.

Accordingly, this application is allowed. However, there shall be no order as to costs".

6. Following the orders passed by our Hon'ble Division Bench in OSA.No.66 of 2023 which was followed by the Hon'ble Single Judge in A.No.1219 of 2023 in Arb.OP.DR.No.9142 of 2022, this court has no hesitation to take lenient view in this application and is inclined to allow this application.

Accordingly, this application is allowed. No order as to costs.



WEB COPY

3



MASTER