



WEB COPY



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED:12.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL.O.P.No.567 of 2026

Vijayaprathap

... Petitioner

Versus

State rep by its,
The Inspector of Police,
Vadaponparappi Police Station,
Kallakurichi
(Crime No.45 of 2025)

.. Respondent

Prayer:- Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of B.N.S.S., to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.45 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner	:	Ms.Raji
For Respondent	:	Ms.J.R.Archana Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest by the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 194 of BNSS, 2023 and later altered to Section 108 of BNS 2023 in Crime No.45 of 2025 seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner is having an illicit relationship with the victim girl. Since he has demanded a sum of



Rs.50,000/- immediately, she had come forward to pledge the jewels and give money to him. However, she could not get the money immediately,

Later the petitioner threatened her that he will take away her mobile and upload her photos which is in his possession and thus caused mental agony to the victim girl. Thus, she unable to bear the insult and humiliation, she committed suicide by jumping into the well. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent person and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to cooperate with the investigation and he has not instigated the victim girl to commit suicide and hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the case was registered under Section 194 BNSS, 2023 and subsequently, altered into Section 108 of BNS, 2023 and petitioner has instigated and continuously harassed her to give money and hence, she committed suicide by jumping into the well. Hence, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.



5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Government Advocate (Crl.side) and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, nature of the allegation and other circumstances, this Court is of the view that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary to investigate the case of this nature and hence, inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order before the *learned Judicial Magistrate, Sankarapuram*, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only)**, with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that:

(a) the sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent



K.RAJASEKAR, J.

gv

WEB COPY

police daily at 10.30 a.m, for a period of four weeks and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;

(c) the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(d) the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

(e) on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*; and;

(f) if the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

12.01.2026

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Vadaponparappi Police Station,
Kallakurichi

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

CRL.O.P.No.567 of 2026