WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 33632 of 2026

Ramachandran @ Abith,
S/o.Gopi,
No.19, Sadaiyyappa Valla Street Kil,
Ayanambakkam, Madhuravoyal, Thiruvallur,
Tamil Nadu State. ...Petitioner/Accused
(Presently confined in Central Prison-Puzhal)

Vs

The State rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Thiruverkadu Police Station,
Tiruvallur District. ...Respondent/Complainant
(Crime No.346 of 2026)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in Crime No.346 of 2026 by the respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.P.Muthamizh Selvakumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.Durai Gunasekaran,
Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

O R D E R

The Petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 14.10.2026 for the alleged offence under Section 296(b), 109(1), 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023 and Sec.3(b) The Explosive Substance Act, 1908, in Crime No.346 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 14.06.2026, the respondent police received a written complaint from one Parthiban, the de-facto complainant, alleging that the petitioner, along with others, unlawfully trespassed into his house, abused his cousin in filthy language, assaulted him and poured petrol on him. The petitioner and the other accused also allegedly threatened the complainant. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 14.10.2026. He further submitted that certain properties, including a washing machine, were damaged during the occurrence. To demonstrate his bona fides, the learned counsel for the petitioner voluntarily undertook that the petitioner is ready and willing to deposit a sum of Rs.25,000/-. Hence, he prayed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner, aling with the other accused, had unlawfully trespassed into the complainant's house, assualted his cousin and poured petrol on him. He further submitted that certain properties, including a washing machine, were damaged during the occurrence. Hence, he strongly opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

5. The learned counsel appearing for the Intervenor/de-facto complainant submitted that the petitioner had cheated the de-facto complainant by concealing his marital status and falsely promising to marry her, thereby obtaining huge sums of money and gold ornaments. He further submitted that the petitioner is liable to repay the disputed amount and opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, and the voluntary undertaking given by the petitioner to deposit a sum of Rs.25,000/-, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

8. Accordingly, the **petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand Only) to the credit of Crime No.346 of 2026** and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- each (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate-II at Poonamallee Court,** and on further conditions that:

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] The petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the credit of the case immediately and produce the receipt before the respondent Police prior to his release;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[f] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in acc with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

18-11-2026

nvi

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.

2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To
1.The Judicial Magistrate-II,
Poonamallee Court.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Thiruverkadu Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.

3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Puzhal.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

nvi

CRL OP No. 33632 of 2026

18-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis