WEB COPY

Order QR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 18-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 33737 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012733922026}

Jeyapal
S/o Rajagopal,
No.2/108, South Velanur,
Valanur,
Ramanathapuram District.

Case QR

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State of Tamil Nadu rep by its Inspector of Police
CCW Tiruvallur Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.
Crime No. 55 of 2026

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with the case in Crime No 55 of 2026 on the file of the respondent and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.V.Sindhu Nathi

For Respondent(s): Mr.M.M.I.Khaleel
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on **22.09.2026** for the alleged offences punishable under **Sections 318(4) of BNS read with Section 66D of IT Act (Section 420 of IPC)** in Crime No. 55 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks regular bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. It is a case of cyber fraud. According to the prosecution, the accused who is a known person of his friend had asked the bank accound details of the defacto complainant for transaction in general expenses and that on 24.04.2026 at 10.a.m., opened the new bank account at Federal Bank, Gummidipoondi, theereafter on 01.06.2026, the defacto complainant received notice from the Cyber Crime Bangalore for unlawful transaction and on enquiry found that the accused persons obtained the defacto complaiannt SIM card, Aadhar card and obtained Federal Bank, Gummidipoondi and misappropriated the account for transaction of Rs.5 lakhs which is an unlawful money transfer.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this cases He further submitted that petitioner was not named in the FIR. He further undertakes that the petitioner will strictly abide by any stringent conditions imposed by this Court and will co-operate with the investigation. He further submitted that the petitioner on his volition, petitioner is ready and willing to deposit a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- to the credit of crime number.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent state strongly opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner. He further submitted that the petitioner has two previous cases.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

5. Considering the nature of dispute and the fact that the petitioner undertakes to deposit a sum of Rs.1 lakh to the credit of crime number and the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner from **22.09.2026** and also the fact that the co-accused was granted bail, this Court is inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner, subject to strict conditions to ensure his presence during investigation and trial.

6. Accordingly, **the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.1 lakh to the creidt of Crime No. 55 of 2026 and on such deposit,** the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties,** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur,** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix his photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure his identity;

(b) the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10:30 A.M. until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

18-11-2026

SMA

TO

1. Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur,

2. Inspector of Police
CCW Tiruvallur Police Station,
Tiruvallur District.

3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison II, Puzhal.

4. The Public Prosecutor,
Madras High Court,

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

SMA

CRL OP No. 33737 of 2026

18-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis