WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 33513 of 2026

Senthilkumar,
S/o.Subramani,
1st Ward, Elambalur,
Perambalur Taluk,
Perambalur District-621 212. ...Petitioner/Accused

Vs

The State represented by
The Inspector of Police,
Perambalur Police Station,
Perambalur District. ...Respondent/Complainant
(Crime No.655 of 2026)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in connection with Cr.No.655 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent Police.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.Thamizh Vendan P
For Respondent(s): Mr.Durai Gunasekaran,
Govt.Advocate (Crl.Side)

O R D E R

The Petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.10.2026 for the alleged offence under Sections 296(b), 75(1)(ii), 281, 125(a) and 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 4 of the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman Act, 1998 (as amended by the Tamil Nadu Prohibition of Harassment of Woman (Amendment) Act, 2025), in Crime No.655 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. The case of the proseuction is that on 10.10.2026, while the de-facto complainant was walking along Athur Bypass Road, the petitioner, who was driving a Tata Ace vehicle, allegedly asked her to get into the vehicle and offered her Rs.10,000/-. When she refused, he abused her in filthy language and drove the vehicle towards her, causing her to fall and sustain an abrasion on her right shoulder. Thereafter, he again attempted to hit her with the vehicle and fled from the spot. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 12.10.2026. He also further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prays for grant of bail to the Petitioner.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner had attempted to force the de-facto complainant to get into his vehicle and, on her refusal, abused her in filthy language and attempted to hit her with the vehicle, causing injuries to her. He further submitted that no previous case is pending against him and the investigation is pending. However, he opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations, the period of incarceration already undergone by the petitioner and the injuries sustained by the de-facto complainant, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on him executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Additional Mahila Court, Perambalur, Perambalur District,** and on further conditions that:

> [a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;
>
> **[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of 30 days and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;**
>
> [c] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[e] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in acc with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

17-11-2026

nvi

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

To

1.The Additional Mahila Court,
Perambalur, Perambalur District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Perambalur Police Station, Perambalur District.

3.The Superintendent,
Central Prison, Trichy.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

nvi

CRL OP No. 33513 of 2026

17-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis