



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-02-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S. M. SUBRAMANIAM

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE C.KUMARAPPAN

CONT P No. 4208 of 2025

R.Sundararajan

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. N.Mirunalini and Another
The Personal Assistant (General),
To District Collector,
Perambalur district,
Perambalur
2. N.Mirunalini
The District Revenue Officer,
Perambalur District,
Perambalur

..Contemnor(s)

Contempt Petition filed under Section 11 of the Contempt of Courts Act to punish the respondents herein for his wilful disobedience and non-compliance of the orders of this Court made in W.A.No.1181 of 2021, dated 20.09.2023 under the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act.



For Petitioner(s):

Mr.R.Singaravbelan, Senior Counsel For
Mr. T. Elumalai,

For Contemnor(s):

Dr.S.Suriya
Additional Government Pleader

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by S.M.Subramaniam J.)

Contempt petition has been instituted to punish respondents for their willful disobedience of the orders of this Court dated 20.09.2023 in WA.No.1181 of 2021.

2. Petitioner was dismissed from service, and order of dismissal came to be challenged in writ petition. Relief sought for in the writ petition is to quash the dismissal order. Writ petition was dismissed by learned Single Judge on 08.11.2019. Thereafter, petitioner preferred writ appeal in WA.No.1181 of 2021, and Division Bench of this Court allowed the writ appeal by order dated 20.09.2023.

3. It is not in dispute that order of the Division Bench of this Court has been implemented by the District Collector, Perambalur, vide his Proceedings in RC.No.A3/5412/2020, dated 24.01.2025. Consequently, petitioner has been reinstated into service.

4.Mr.R.Singaravelan, learned Senior Counsel would submit that all other consequential service benefits are not granted, and order reinstating the



petitioner was silent about such benefits.

WEB COPY

5. In the absence of any specific Court orders, contempt may not arise, since there is no willful disobedience. The prayer in the writ petition is to quash the dismissal order, which was granted by the Division Bench of this Court. Therefore, whatever benefits as permissible is to be granted in respect of the dismissed employee, who was reinstated pursuant to the order of this Court. However, it is made clear that respondents have not committed any willful disobedience of the orders of this Court.

6. With these observations, the Contempt Petition is closed. No costs.

S.M.S.,J.) (C.K.,J.)
09-02-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

GD

To

1. N.Mirunalini and Another
The Personal Assistant (General),
To District Collector,
Perambalur district,
Perambalur
2. N.Mirunalini
The District Revenue Officer,
Perambalur District,
Perambalur



WEB COPY

CONT P No. 4208 of 2



**S.M.SUBRAMANIAM, J.
AND
C.KUMARAPPAN, J.**

GD

CONT P No. 4208 of 2025

09-02-2026