WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 32861 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012701192026}

1. R.Vishnu
2. Lavanya @ Lavanyamala
3. V.Kumaran
4. K.Sumanraj @ Kishore

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The State Rep. by,
The Inspector of Police
All Women Police Station-Kottakuppam Police Station,
Villupuram District.
Crime No.37 of 2026.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER

This Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 482 of BNSS praying to enlarge the Petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Cr.No.37 of 2026 on the file of the Respondent and thus render justice.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.NIRMAL KRISHNAN

For Respondent(s): Mr.R.Rajasekaran
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

ORDER

The petitioners apprehend arrest for the alleged offences under Sections 69, 88, 296(b), 351(2) of BNS **in Crime No.37 of 2026** on the file of the respondent police seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the first accused, under the false promise of marrying the *de facto* complainant, had a physical relationship with her on several occasions, due to which she became pregnant and delivered a baby. Subsequently, the petitioner / Accused No. 1 refused to marry the *de facto* complainant and is now preparing to marry another woman.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that A1 is the former partner of the *de facto* complainant and that they parted ways mutually. He further submitted that the petitioners are innocent, that a false case has been foisted against them, and that they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioners are ready to abide by any stringent condition that may be imposed by this Court.

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions.

6. It is a case of a sexual relationship that ended in a miscarriage and criminal intimidation. The petitioners are arrayed as A1 to A4. The first petitioner had a sexual relationship with the *de facto* complainant. It is the contention of the *de facto* complainant that the first petitioner contracted a second marriage, and that all the petitioners abused her. On the other hand, it is the contention of the petitioners that they have nothing to do with the alleged offences and that the matter arose merely out of a failed love affair between the first petitioner and the *de facto* complainant. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, and the fact that the main allegations are directed primarily against the first petitioner, this Court is of the firm view that custodial interrogation of petitioners 2 to 4 is not required. Hence, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners 2 to 4 on anticipatory bail, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioners 2 to 4 are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Vannur, Villupuam District** on condition that **each of the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees**

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

Twenty Thousand only), with **two sureties each,** for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further conditions:

(a)If the petitioners 2 to 4 fail to surrender before the concerned learned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety slip (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioners 2 to 4 shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 am for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required;**

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];***

(e) If the petitioners 2 to 4 thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed insofar as the first petitioner is concerned and allowed in respect of petitioners 2 to 4.

17-11-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

MFA

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
   Vannur, Villupuram District.

2. The Inspector of Police
   All Women Police Station-Kottakuppam Police Station,
   Villupuram District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
   High Court, Chennai.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

MFA

CRL OP No. 32861 of 2026

17-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis