



W.P.Crl.No.1307 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09.06.2026

WEB COPY

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN**

WP.Crl.No.1307 of 2025 and
WPMP.Crl.Nos.633 & 634 of 2025

R.Sakthi Malli Madura

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Social Welfare Officer,
Dowry Protection Officer,
O/o.District Social Welfare,
South Chennai,
Singaravelar Maaligai 8th Floor,
Chennai-600 001.

2.The Inspector of Police,
W-25, All Women Police Station,
T.Nagar, Chennai-17.
Cr.No.7 of 2025

3.Rajesh

4.Bala Ramesh

5.Susila

6.Rakesh

(respondents 3 to 6 impleaded by this Court
as per order dated 09.06.2026 in
WPMP.Crl.No.249 of 2026 in
WP.Crl.No.1307 of 2025)

... Respondents

Prayer:

Writ Petition(Crl.) filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India
praying to issue a WRIT OF Certiorarified MANDAMUS calling for the
entire records relating to the impugned order passed by the 1st respondent
in his proceedings Na.Ka.No.1038/A1/2025, dated 01.09.2025 and quash



W.P.Crl.No.1307 of 2025

the same and consequently to depute the higher officials in the Office of the District Collector, Chennai for conduct proper and fair enquiry and send report to the 2nd respondent.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Prakasam

For Respondents

For R1 & 2 : Mr.R.Ganesh Kumar,
Counsel for Government
of Tamil Nadu (Criminal Side)

For R3 to 6 : Ms.M.Karpagam

ORDER

This writ petition has been filed challenging the order passed by the first respondent dated 01.09.2025 thereby opined that there was no dowry demand from the counter parties i.e. respondents 3 to 6.

2. Now, on the complaint lodged by the petitioner, the first respondent conducted detailed enquiry and submitted report. On the strength of the report, now the second respondent registered FIR in crime No.7 of 2025. Further, after completing investigation, final report has been filed before the jurisdictional court concerned and it is pending for taking cognizance. The only point raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner is that though the petitioner made specific allegation against respondents 3 to 6 in respect of dowry demand, the first respondent opined that there was no dowry demand.

Page 2 of 4



W.P.Crl.No.1307 of 2025

WEB COPY

3. However, the learned Counsel for Government of Tamilnadu(Criminal Side) appearing for respondents 1 & 2 submitted that the second respondent registered FIR for the offence punishable under Sections 85, 318(4), 351(2) of BNS and Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act. He further submitted that on completion of investigation, final report has been filed.

4. Recording the above submission, this writ petition is closed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Index : Yes/No
Neutral citation : Yes/No
Speaking/non-speaking order
lok

09.06.2026
(2/2)



WEB COPY



W.P.Crl.No.1307 of 2025

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

lok

To

- 1.The District Social Welfare Officer,
Dowry Protection Officer,
O/o.District Social Welfare,
South Chennai,
Singaravelar Maaligai 8th Floor,
Chennai-600 001.
- 2.The Inspector of Police,
W-25, All Women Police Station,
T.Nagar, Chennai-17.
- 3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras

WP.Crl.No.1307 of 2025

09.06.2026

(2/2)