WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE N.RAMESH

CRL OP No. 32921 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012690842026}

Imran Khan
S/o Haridhar Khan,
No.620, 6th street, Sanjay Nagar,
Vysarpadi, Chennai-600039

Case QR

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

State rep by the Inspector of police
K-3, Aminjikarai Police Station,
Chennai.
Crime No.525/2026

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, to enlarge the accused/petitioner on bail pending investigation in crime no.525 of 2026 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.P.Praveen Kumar

For Respondent(s): Mr.Durai Gunasekaran,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 14.10.2026 for the alleged offence under Section 75(1)(ii), 78(1) of BNS, in Crime No. 525 of 2026 on the file of the respondent police, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant and the

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

petitioner are neighbours and had been in a relationship for the past 8 years. After the defacto complainant shifted her house, the petitioner went to see her at her working place at that time, there was a wordy quarrel, due to which, the defacto complainant lodged a complaint against the petitioner. Hence, the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case, and has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by any stringent conditions that may be imposed by this Court, and hence, prayed for the grant of bail

4. Per contra, the learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the Respondent Police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner has no previous case pending against him and that the investigation is still pending. Hence, he opposed the grant of bail to the Petitioner.

5. I have given my anxious consideration to either side submissions and perused the materials available on record.

6. It is a case of involving allegations of stalking and sexual harassment by a neighbour. According to the prosecution, the petitioner developed a

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

dispute with the defacto complainant, harassed her over the phone and went to her work place, where he verbally abused her. The petitioner was arrested and has been in judicial custody since 14.10.2026. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner and the defacto complainant were in relationship for past 8 years and the complaint following a quarrel in a working place. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations and the period of incarceration already undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his executing a bond for a sum of **Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only)** with **two sureties each**, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned V Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, Chennai,** and on further conditions that:

[a] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders;

[c] the petitioner shall file an affidavit before the concerned Magistrate undertaking that he shall not disturb, threaten, influence or intimidate the defacto

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

complainant in any manner. In the event of any such complaint being received regarding violation of this condition, the bail granted to the petitioner shall be liable to be cancelled;

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

[e] the petitioner shall not tamper with the evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

[f] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283];**

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

12-11-2026

DRL

Note:

1. Registry is directed to forthwith upload this order in the Official Website of this Court.
2. All concerned to act on this order being uploaded in Official Website of this Court without insisting on certified hard copies. To be noted, this order when uploaded in the official website of this Court will be watermarked and will also have a QR code.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

To

1.The V Metropolitan Magistrate,
Egmore, Chennai.

2. The Inspector of police
K-3, Aminjikarai police station,
Chennai.

3.The Superintendent,
Sub Jail, Saidapet, Chennai.

4.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

N.RAMESH, J.

DRL

CRL OP No. 32921 of 2026

12-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis