WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 17-11-2026

Case QR

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR

WP No. 45955 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA012679432026}

M. Narayana Moorthy

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

M/s Metropolitan Transport Corporation
Rep by its Managing Director,
No 1 Pallavan Salai,
Chennai 600 002

..Respondent(s)

Writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondent herein to settle difference in Medical Leave Salary with 6 percent interest, based on G.O (Ms) No. 220, Transport (C1) Department dated 06.08.1999 to the petitioner herein, by considering the representations of the petitioner dated 02.09.2026 and 25.09.2026.

For Petitioner(s): Mr.D.Soundar Raj

For Respondent(s): Mr.J.Varun,
Standing Counsel

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed seeking the following relief :-

"directing the respondent herein to settle difference in Medical Leave Salary with 6 percent interest, based on G.O (Ms) No. 220, Transport (C1) Department dated 06.08.1999 to the petitioner herein, by considering the representations of the petitioner dated 02.09.2026 and 25.09.2026."

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

2. Insofar as the relief sought in respect of payment of difference in medical leave salary is concerned, the same is governed by an order issued by the Government vide G.O.(Ms.)No.220, Transport (C1) Department, dated 06.08.1999, whereby the Government permitted the employees to keep their medical leave pending as on 31.08.1998 and keeping the same in a separate account to enable them to encash the same at the time of retirement based on the salary received at the time of retirement from service. However, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said medical leave available to the credit of the petitioner as on 31.08.1998 was permitted to be encashed as per the salary drawn by the petitioner as on 31.08.1998, instead of the salary that was drawn by the petitioner as on the date of superannuation, i.e., on 30.04.2014. Hence, the petitioner is entitled for the differential amount of medical leave salary.

3. Mr.A.Vinothraj, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent fairly submits that in case if the medical leave salary was permitted to be encashed as per the salary drawn by the petitioner as on 31.08.1998 instead of the last drawing salary as on 30.04.2014, the petitioner may be entitled for the differential amount and the same may be considered by the respondent by taking into consideration the order issued by the Government in G.O.(Ms).No.220, dated 06.08.1999.

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the respondent-Corporation to consider the claim of the petitioner for payment of differential amount in Medical Leave Salary in terms of G.O.(Ms).No.220, Transport (C1) Department, dated 06.08.1999 and release all the eligible amount in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within a period of twelve (12) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

17-11-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No

dpa

To

The Managing Director,
M/s Metropolitan Transport Corporation
No 1 Pallavan Salai,
Chennai 600 002

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis

WEB COPY

MUMMINENI SUDHEER KUMAR, J.

dpa

WP No. 45955 of 2026

17-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis